

Review

Management of Steatosis in Living Donors: Where Do We Stand?

Abhideep Chaudhary *, Anish Gupta, Imtiakum Jamir, Niteen Kumar, Gaurav Sood

Department of HPB Surgery and Liver Transplantation, BLK Super Speciality Hospital, Pusa Road, Rajendra Place, New Delhi, 110005, India; E-Mails: drabhideep@yahoo.com; dranishgupta@yahoo.com; dr.imtiakumjamir@gmail.com; drniteenkumar@gmail.com; gaurav2sood@yahoo.com; ORCID: 0000-0003-4817-4336; 0000-0002-7235-4663; 0000-0003-2217-1372; 0000-0002-1780-6241; 0000-0003-0924-6042

* **Correspondence:** Abhideep Chaudhary; E-Mail: drabhideep@yahoo.com

Academic Editor: Andres Jaramillo

Special Issue: [Liver Transplantation: Current Status and Future Challenges](#)

OBM Transplantation

2024, volume 8, issue 2

doi:10.21926/obm.transplant.2402210

Received: October 23, 2023

Accepted: March 19, 2024

Published: April 01, 2024

Abstract

With the progressive rise in rates of liver transplantation, stagnant donor pool, and social factors, living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) forms the majority of liver transplantations performed in Asian countries. As the global prevalence of metabolic-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) is increasing, around 17-25% of all the prospective donors turn out to be steatotic at the time of evaluation and, as such, rejected for donor hepatectomy, thereby considerably reducing the living donor pool. Steatotic grafts are a risk factor to both the recipient (primary nonfunction, delayed graft function, and mortality) and the donor (poor regeneration, higher blood loss, and prolonged hospital stay). Weight reduction and dietary optimization have been known to be associated with improvement in steatosis, and multiple interventions have been used in the past to reduce steatosis in these donors and be able to convert these donors from marginal steatotic donors to normal or low-risk donors and utilize these grafts. Most of these studies indicated the efficacy of these optimization protocols. They suggested similar outcomes in these previously steatotic donors compared to donors without steatosis at baseline, but these optimization protocols lack uniformity. This review article aims



© 2024 by the author. This is an open access article distributed under the conditions of the [Creative Commons by Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is correctly cited.

to highlight the rising prevalence of steatosis in living liver donors, assess the literature on pre-operative management options for steatosis donors, and study the efficacy, safety, and feasibility of these management options.

Keywords

steatosis; fatty liver; living donor liver transplant; GM diet: general motor diet; donor hepatectomy; weight loss

1. Introduction

Liver Transplantation (LT) has evolved in the past 2 decades, has shown excellent results, and is now established as the standard of care for acute liver failure (ALF) and end-stage liver disease [1]. With improvements in surgical technique, perioperative management, anesthesia techniques, better immunosuppression regimens, and advances in organ procurement and preservations, the survival rates after liver transplant have improved to more than 90% at 1 year [2] and 70% at 5 years. and 60% at 10 years [3].

Deceased donor liver transplant (DDLT) is preferred to live donor liver transplant (LDLT) in countries where cadaveric organs are readily available. However, in Asian countries where the rates of organ donation are low, LDLT is more commonly performed. However, LDLT is technically more challenging and is known to be associated with surgical risks to donors [4]. LDLT offers advantages over DDLT in multiple aspects, including the availability of healthy donors, shorter cold ischemia time (CIT), lower waitlist dropout in HCC, and preoperative optimization, with a reduction in waitlist mortality being the most important [5].

Before donors undergo donor hepatectomy, they undergo a thorough evaluation, including blood investigations, contrast-enhanced computerized tomography abdomen (CECT abdomen), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to assess for any anomalies. MR-fat fraction and CT-liver attenuation index (CT-LAI) are performed to evaluate liver morphology and the degree of steatosis [6]. One of the most common reasons for rejection of a live liver donor is the abnormality in the hepatic parenchyma because of steatosis and steatohepatitis, which can lead to increased risk to the donor [6]. With the increase in obesity rates, there has been a significant rise in the rates of steatosis. It is estimated that 10-50% of the general population is affected by steatosis, with the prevalence being notably higher in individuals with obesity [7, 8]. The acceptable upper limit of steatosis for live liver donors (LLD) is not well defined, but most centers reject donors with macrovesicular steatosis of >15-20% [6, 7]. Donor hepatectomy, being a purely altruistic surgery, needs particular attention, and strict measures are taken to ensure donor safety and minimize donor morbidity [9]. Live donor hepatectomy is a major surgery and is associated with major morbidity of around 2-5% and minor morbidity of around 15-20% [6]. During evaluation for LDLT, 17-25% of potential healthy donors are found to be steatotic and therefore rejected [6].

Steatosis has been recognized as a major risk factor following hepatic resections and is associated with poorer patient outcomes. Steatosis is associated with poor hepatocellular recovery due to impaired hepatic homeostasis and more hepatocellular injury because of lipid accumulation in hepatocytes [10]. Donor steatosis is associated with an increased initial poor graft function and a

higher risk of primary nonfunction in the recipient [11, 12]. In contrast, in donors, steatosis was found to be associated with impaired liver regeneration after hepatectomy [13], increased risk of intraoperative bleeding, blood transfusion requirement, morbidity, post-operative infection rates, and prolonged hospital stay [14, 15]. Steatosis was also identified as a significant risk factor for intrahepatic cholestasis and transient hyperbilirubinemia during regeneration following liver donor liver transplantation [16].

Steatosis is reversible and has been the target of prehabilitation prior to donor hepatectomy. Multiple methods have been described in living liver donors for improving hepatic parenchymal quality and reversal of hepatic steatosis, including dietary optimization, weight reduction, enhanced physical activity, and medication intake. However, still, there is no consensus, and the optimum method and duration to reduce steatosis are yet to be elucidated [17-25].

2. Dietary Optimization

The study by Hwang *et al.* [22] was the first scientific study to evaluate the efficacy of dietary optimization on steatotic LLDs. 9 potential liver donors with steatosis were enrolled and started on a balanced diet with low-calorie (25-30 calories \times ideal body weight [Kg] per day) along with increased physical activity and alcohol abstinence for around 3 months. Pre, and post-intervention and intraoperative liver biopsies, along with CT assessment of steatosis, were done. All 9 donors had a significant reduction in BMI (~ 1.6 kg/m²) (25.3 ± 3.8 to 23.7 ± 3.4 , $P = 0.0001$) and in steatosis ($\sim 28\%$) ($48.9\% \pm 25.6\%$ to $20.0\% \pm 16.2\%$, $p = 0.006$) and underwent donor hepatectomy with no difference in outcomes as compared to normal donors without steatosis. They concluded that dietary optimization could help reduce steatosis and help increase the donor pool, with results similar to those of normal donors without steatosis. (Table 1).

Table 1 Previous studies on dietary optimization for steatosis reduction in donors.

| S No | Reference | Country | No. | Type of intervention | Duration (months) | BMI reduction | Steatosis reduction (%) | Liver donation | Outcomes |
|------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Hwang et al., 2004 Liver transplantation [22] | Seoul, South Korea | 9 (Steatosis 10-30%) | Diet (25-30 calories x ideal body weight) + Exercise | ~3 Months | 2 (P = 0.0001) | 28.9 (P = 0.006) | 9 | No different from the control group |
| 2 | Oshita et al., 2012 Transplantation [21] | Hiroshima, Japan | 42 (Steatosis 10-30%) | 800 to 1400 kcal/d diet + 100 to 400 kcal/d exercise | ~2.9 Months | 0.90 (P < 0.0001) | - | 41 (1 had stage 2 fibrosis) | No different from the control group |
| 3 | Doyle et al., 2016 Liver transplantation [18] | Toronto, Canada | 16 (steatosis > 10%) | Optifast VLCD: 1000 kcal/d | ~7.3 weeks | 2.3 (P < 0.001) | 24.55 (P < 0.001) | 14 (1 low volume, 1 fibrosis) | No different from the control group |
| 4 | Pamecha et al., 2022 Langenbeck's archives of surgery [26] | Delhi, India | 13 Biopsy-proven NASH | 1200 to 1600 kcal/d diet (<30% fat; 40-50% carbohydrate, 50-60 g protein/day) + 40-60 minutes of exercise/day. | 60-110 days | Wt loss - ~7.5 ± 2.7kg | Reversal of NASH in 6 donors. | 6 | Higher bilirubin levels and a longer time to normalization of bilirubin. Rest same. |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|---|---|---------|--|------------------------|------|---|
| 5 | Gupta Anish et al., 2023, RCT, Annals of surgery [23] | Delhi, India | Dietary optimization in 28 non-Steatotic donors (34 controls) | Low-calorie diet (equalling basal requirement) + exercise | 14 days | Wt loss (~2 kg), BMI reduction by 1.6 | - | 100% | Better liver regeneration in donors and lesser EGD in recipients. |
| 6 | Anish Gupta [27] | Delhi, India | 51 donors with steatosis | General Motors diet (low-calorie diet) | 7 days | Wt loss (3.5 kg), BMI reduction by 1.2 | 3.8% (MR fat fraction) | 100% | No difference in outcomes. |

(Table adapted from Gupta A et al., [28]).

Similar studies by Oshita et al. and Doyle et al. also suggested marked weight reduction and improvement in steatosis by dietary optimization, leading to good donor and recipient outcomes [18, 21].

The study by Pamecha et al. was the first study that described the resolution of steatohepatitis in donors following dietary optimization. Finally, it resulted in successful and safe donor hepatectomy in donors previously with steatohepatitis [26]. They enrolled 13 potential donors with biopsy-proven steatohepatitis. They started them on a low-calorie diet (1200-1600 kcal/day) comprising 50-60 grams of protein/day, <30% fat, 40-50% carbohydrate, and 45-60 minutes of daily exercise for 60-110 days. Following this intervention, there was a reversal of NASH in 6 out of the 13 donors, and they could safely undergo donor hepatectomy with no major difference in donor or recipient outcomes. (Table 1).

A recent randomized control trial evaluated the role of a customized low-calorie diet (based on each donor's basal metabolic requirement) and exercise for 2 weeks before surgery in normal, healthy live liver donors without steatosis [23]. The study found a significant reduction in weight, lesser intraoperative blood loss, earlier normalization of liver function tests, and lesser peak AST and ALT levels post-donor hepatectomy in the intervention group. They also described improvement in liver regeneration in the donors based on CT volumetry following this optimization protocol along with decreased rates of early graft dysfunction in the recipient, thereby emphasizing the role of short-term dietary optimization even in healthy donors without steatosis to improve both donors as well as the recipient outcomes. (Table 1).

A recent study by our group evaluated the efficacy of ultra-short dietary optimization following the General Motors diet (GM diet) for 1 week in steatotic donors before surgery [27]. 51 LLDs with BMI over 30 kg/m², CT-LAI < 0 HU, and MR fat-fraction >10% were advised on a GM diet for 1 week. Following a week of GM diet initiation, there was an average improvement of 6.7 (±3.7) HU in CT LAI, 3.8% (±2.7) in fat fraction, and 3.46 (±2.1) kg weight loss. All these LLDs successfully underwent donor hepatectomy after a week of GM diet with an average post-op ICU and hospital stay of 2.86 (±0.8) days and 6.82 (±0.81) days, respectively. On intraoperative Tru-cut biopsy, none of the donors had >10% steatosis and had similar post-operative outcomes compared to donors without prior steatosis. It was concluded that the GM diet is safe and effective in reducing steatosis and increasing the pool of healthy LLDs without compromising the safety of the donors or the recipients. (Table 1).

3. Medications

Nakamuta et al. subjected steatotic liver donors with a combination of short-term weight loss intervention along with exercise and medications. 11 potential donors with ≤30% combined microvesicular and macrovesicular steatosis were enrolled. All 11 patients underwent pre and post-intervention liver biopsy. They employed a low-calorie, low-fat diet with calorie intake ranging from 1000 to 1400 kcal/day and exercise (600 kcal per day (200 kcal × 3 exercise sessions)). These donors were also started on Bezafibrate (400 mg/day) and continued till the day of surgery. Following this optimization protocol, they found a significant reduction in steatosis (18%; *P* = 0.0028) and BMI (2.3 kg/m²; *P* = 0.0033). There was an improvement in liver function tests and lipid profile in all donors post-intervention, and 7 donors underwent LDLT with good outcomes in both the donors and recipients [20] (Table 2).

Table 2 Previous studies on steatosis reduction using medications in donors.

| S No | Reference | Country | No. | Type of intervention | Duration (months) | BMI reduction | Steatosis reduction (%) | Liver donation | Outcomes |
|------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Nakamuta et al., 2005 Transplantation [13] | Fukuoka, Japan | 11 (Steatosis 10-30%) | 1000 kcal/d diet + exercise (600 kcal/d) + Bezafibrate | ~37.8 ± 4.6 days | 4.4 (P = 0.0033) | 18 (P = 0.0028) | 7 (2 recipient deaths, 1 low GRWR) | No different from the control group |
| 2 | Fujii et al., 2020 Annals of Transplant [17] | Sapporo, Japan | 8 (steatosis > 10%) | <1600 Kcal/d + exercise 20 min × 3/wk. ± statins | ~58 days | 2.6 (P = 0.0009) | Yes (P = 0.0006) | 8 | No different from the control group |
| 3 | El Badry et al., [28] | Zurich, Switzerland | 3 (Steatosis > 30%) | Omega 3 fatty acids | 1 month | - | - | 3 | Not available. |

(Table adapted from Gupta A et al., [28]).

Fujii *et al.* evaluated 8 potential donors with steatosis (hepatic attenuation of <55 HU on Non-Contrast CT or liver-to-spleen attenuation ratio of <1.1). Donors were subjected to a low-calorie diet (<1600 Kcal/day along with exercise for 20 min for 3 days a week in addition to statin administration till the day of surgery. Donors were taken up for donor hepatectomy when macrovesicular steatosis was <10% on liver biopsy. They found a significant reduction in BMI and steatosis, and all 8 could undergo successful donor hepatectomy with no significant difference in either donor or recipient complication and similar graft functions (Table 2).

In a study by El Badry *et al.* 2010, the role of Omega 3 fatty acid supplementation in 3 steatotic live liver donors was assessed. Oral Omega 3 fatty acid supplementation was continued for 1 month in these donors, leading to steatosis reduction and successful surgery [28].

Studies have shown oral O-3 fatty acids supplementation to be associated with reduced total hepatic lipid content, with the conversion of the predominant macrosteatosis into microvesicular steatosis, improved sinusoidal perfusion, and decreased hepatocellular damage after reperfusion [28-30]. There is also evidence of resolution of biochemical and ultrasonographic features of fatty liver on prolonged oral Omega-3 fatty acid supplementation [31]. Omega-3 fatty acids mainly act via eicosanoid derivatives, which help in counteracting the proinflammatory Omega-6 eicosanoids [28] (Table 2). One of the biggest drawbacks of the drug-based management of steatotic donors is the longer duration of treatment needed to obtain significant results. Also, donors would need further studies to ascertain the efficacy and safety of these drugs.

4. Future Strategies

4.1 Silymarin

An extract from the dried seeds and fruits of the milk thistle plant (*Sylibun marianum*) is a known free radical scavenger, decreases oxidative stress and consequent cytotoxicity, and modulates enzymes associated with the development of cellular damage and protects liver cells from oxidative stress [32-34]. No study has yet been done on silymarin to assess the effect on steatotic donors.

4.2 Curcumin

(*Curcuma longa*) is an active compound from the curcuminoids family and is a known antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent. Studies have shown a reduction in steatosis, hepatic enzymes, and inflammatory markers, including tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and nuclear factor-kappa- β activity (NF- $\kappa\beta$) following long-term curcumin supplementation along with dietary modification in patients with NAFLD. No study has yet been performed on steatotic donors [35-37].

4.3 Resveratrol

Resveratrol (3,5,4-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene) is a phytoestrogen naturally derived from skins of red grapes and blueberries. Studies have shown the efficacy of resveratrol in increasing fatty acid oxidation and reducing lipogenesis, leading to a decrease in hepatic steatosis [38, 39]. The efficacy of resveratrol is yet to be established in steatotic donors.

4.4 Liraglutide

It is a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analog known to reduce hepatic steatosis, concentrations of liver enzymes, and peripheral insulin resistance. A study by Armstrong et al. established the efficacy of liraglutide in steatohepatitis resolution in patients with NASH, but the efficacy in steatotic donors is yet to be established [40].

4.5 Pioglitazone

It is a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR- γ) agonist for managing type 2 diabetes (T2DM). The study by Sathyanarayana et al. established the efficacy of pioglitazone in reducing hepatic steatosis in addition to reducing fasting plasma glucose (FPG), fasting free fatty [41, 42] acid (FFA), decreasing plasma adiponectin concentration, and body weight. These drugs have been studied and are known to decrease steatosis in patients with NAFLD. However, there is not yet enough data to support their use in steatotic donors, and further studies would be needed to evaluate their safety and efficacy in steatotic donors.

4.6 Bariatric Surgery

Though the idea is still in its infancy, there have been reports of donor hepatectomy after bariatric surgery, which leads to loss of weight and improved steatosis leading to donor hepatectomy [43-45].

Obed et al. described the case of a liver donor who had undergone laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy 4 years back and had lost weight before successful donor hepatectomy while Garcia et al. described a case series of 4 donors who had earlier undergone bariatric surgery procedure for resolution of obesity. 2 donors had undergone laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy while 2 underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. No complications were observed in either donors or the recipients, and there was 100% graft survival with no added morbidity.

These small studies suggested that utilization of selected donors with previous bariatric surgery appears to be a safe option and increases the donor pool. However, larger studies would be needed to confirm these benefits.

5. Impact of Hepatic Steatosis in Liver Surgery

Steatosis is known to occur in two forms: macrovesicular and microvesicular. With mild steatosis, fat droplets have a zone 3 pericentral pattern, preserved periportal areas, and fat globules centered around the central vein [46, 47]. Steatosis, in turn, causes microcirculation impairment and decreased resistance to ischemic damage after liver resection, thereby leading to poor regeneration [48].

Berhns et al. reported that steatosis was associated with longer operative times, higher postoperative bilirubin and AST levels, and higher blood transfusion requirements. Various studies established steatosis to be associated with higher rates of hepatobiliary complications and wound-related complications after hepatic resection [14]. Kooby et al. also reported a similar increase in rates of hepatobiliary complications after liver surgery in steatotic patients [15]. Sultana et al. and Fagenson et al. reviewed outcomes after surgery on steatotic livers and found higher rates of liver

failure after hepatectomy and higher rates of hepatobiliary and pulmonary complications, respectively [49, 50].

6. Discussion

Ensuring donor safety constitutes the most crucial aspect of LDLT, with all necessary precautions to facilitate prompt recovery and a complication-free post-operative period for the donor [51]. There have been various studies regarding steatotic donor optimization in the past. Most studies demonstrating efficacy have centered around optimizing dietary and lifestyle factors to reduce steatosis (Table 1, 2). The exact mechanism of action of these low-calorie diets is not yet known. However, it is postulated that calorie-deficit diets work by increasing insulin sensitivity, reducing glucose production, and improving intrahepatic lipolysis, leading to reduced intrahepatic triglycerides and glycogen [52].

Enhanced physical activity further helps reduce intrahepatic triglycerides and improves the overall health of the donor. Physical activity helps decrease hepatic fat content by improving insulin resistance, increasing lipolysis, and fatty acid metabolism. Improved fatty acid oxidation and decreased fatty acid synthesis further help reduce mitochondrial and hepatocellular damage by reducing the release of damage-associated molecular patterns [53].

Previous studies have shown that even after just 48 hours of calorie deficit diet consumption, there is a significant reduction in triglyceride level in the hepatic parenchyma and improved hepatic insulin sensitivity [54], indicating that even short-term dietary optimization just before transplant might be effective in reducing steatosis and improving outcomes. Marcos et al. described that each percentage increase in steatosis equals a decrease in functional graft weight by 1% [55]. This becomes significant, especially in living donors with borderline remnants where the margin of safety may improve with a reduction in steatosis. This would subsequently mean improved functional liver remnant mass and may help increase the donor pool and avoid donor rejections. Studies have shown reduced blood loss after calorie deficit low-fat diets [23, 56-58]. The exact mechanism of reduction in blood loss is not yet known, but it has been postulated to be due to reduced glycogen content in the liver. Each gram of glycogen in the liver binds to 4 g of water, thereby increasing the water content in the liver, leading to more blood loss [59].

Kirk et al. described changes in intrahepatic triglyceride levels after just 48 hours of calorie-restricted diet (1100 kcal) based on magnetic resonance spectroscopy. In addition to a decrease in hepatic triglyceride levels, they also found a decrease in glucose production rate and improved hepatic insulin sensitivity rates, hypothesizing decreased circulating insulin and increased lipolysis as the mechanism responsible for this. Under normal conditions, insulin is known to decrease lipolysis in the liver. Hence, hepatic lipolysis increases as serum insulin and glucose levels decline in the body [54].

Reeves et al. first described a decrease in hepatic steatosis and steatohepatitis based on histology after a short-term low-calorie diet. They also found that diet was an independently significant predictor for decreased steatosis and steatohepatitis rather than being dependent on weight loss and loss of BMI for causing decreased steatosis. They also found a significant decrease in intraoperative blood loss after putting the patients on a calorie-restricted diet. They recommended initiation of pre-operative lifestyle modification in all the donors, irrespective of their BMI, to

decrease steatosis and hence to decrease the complications and morbidity associated with surgery [58].

The optimization of steatotic live liver donors and their conversion to healthy liver donors is a viable option for expanding the donor pool. However, the data regarding donor and recipient outcomes is sparse in the case of such donors with a prior history of steatosis managed with dietary optimization. The goal of steatotic liver donor optimization lies in optimizing the donors for donor hepatectomy and providing for a healthy life by counseling and inculcating a healthy lifestyle, dietary optimization, and increased physical activity even after discharge after donor hepatectomy. These donors need to stay on a stringent follow-up after donor hepatectomy to assess for recurrence of steatosis in the liver remnant and for early detection of complications, if any, in the residual liver.

7. Conclusion

Severe steatosis is one of the most significant risk factors for complications after donor hepatectomy and dietary interventions; weight loss along with exercise and pharmacotherapy is safe, feasible, and effective in steatosis reduction and helps turn marginal steatotic donors into low-risk donors, thereby improving outcomes. Carefully selected steatotic diet-treated living liver donors have good donor and recipient outcomes and graft quality compared to non-steatotic donors and, therefore, may help expand the donor pool without increasing risk to the donors and recipients and also help decrease waitlist mortality. In donors with a low remnant, the safety margin can be increased. In contrast, recipients with low GRWR can decrease rates of EGD, leading to early recovery and lower morbidity and mortality.

Author Contributions

Abhideep Chaudhary, Anish Gupta, Gaurav Sood, Niteen Kumar conceived the idea; Anish Gupta, Imtiakum Jamir writing and drafting of manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this review.

References

1. Porret PM, Olthoff KM. Current state of living donor liver transplantation. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2013; 2: 160-164.
2. Soin AS, Thiagarajan S. Liver transplant scene in India. *MAMC J Med Sci.* 2016; 2: 6-11.
3. Serrano MT, Sabroso S, Esteban LM, Berenguer M, Fondevila C, Lorente S, et al. Mortality and causes of death after liver transplantation: Analysis of sex differences in a large nationwide cohort. *Transpl Int.* 2022; 35: 10263.
4. Park GC, Song GW, Moon DB, Lee SG. A review of current status of living donor liver transplantation. *Hepatobil Surg Nutr.* 2016; 5: 107-117.
5. Kaido T, Uemoto S. Does living donation have advantages over deceased donation in liver transplantation? *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2010; 25: 1598-1603.

6. Pamecha V, Mahansaria SS, Bharathy KG, Kumar S, Sasturkar SV, Sinha PK, et al. Selection and outcome of the potential live liver donor. *Hepatol Int.* 2016; 10: 657-664.
7. Fan ST, Lo CM, Liu CL, Yong BH, Chan JK, Ng IO. Safety of donors in live donor liver transplantation using right lobe grafts. *Arch Surg.* 2000; 135: 336-340.
8. De A, Duseja A. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Indian perspective. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2021; 18: 158-163.
9. Meng H, Yang J, Yan L. Donor safety in adult-adult living donor liver transplantation: A single-center experience of 356 cases. *Med Sci Monit.* 2016; 22: 1623-1629.
10. Busuttil RW, Tanaka K. The utility of marginal donors in liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant.* 2003; 9: 651-663.
11. Veteläinen R, van Vliet A, Gouma DJ, van Gulik TM. Steatosis as a risk factor in liver surgery. *Ann Surg.* 2007; 245: 20-30.
12. Imber CJ, St Peter SD, Handa A, Friend PJ. Hepatic steatosis and its relationship to transplantation. *Liver Transplant.* 2002; 8: 415-423.
13. Kele PG, van der Jagt EJ, Gouw AS, Lisman T, Porte RJ, de Boer MT. The impact of hepatic steatosis on liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy. *Liver Int.* 2013; 33: 469-475.
14. Behrns KE, Tsiotos GG, DeSouza NE, Krishna MK, Ludwig J, Nagomey DM. Hepatic steatosis as a potential risk factor for major hepatic resection. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 1998; 2: 292-298.
15. Kooby DA, Fong Y, Suriawinata A, Gonen M, Allen PJ, Klimstra DS, et al. Impact of steatosis on perioperative outcome following hepatic resection. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2003; 7: 1034-1044.
16. Cho JY, Suh KS, Lee HW, Cho EH, Yang SH, Cho YB, et al. Hepatic steatosis is associated with intrahepatic cholestasis and transient hyperbilirubinemia during regeneration after living donor liver transplantation. *Transpl Int.* 2006; 19: 807-813.
17. Fujii Y, Kawamura N, Zaitzu M, Watanabe M, Goto R, Kamiyama T, et al. Outcome of living-donor liver transplantation using grafts from donors treated for fatty liver. *Ann Transplant.* 2020; 25: e920677-1-e920677-8.
18. Doyle A, Adeyi O, Khalili K, Fischer S, Dib M, Goldaracena N, et al. Treatment with Optifast reduces hepatic steatosis and increases candidacy rates for living donor liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant.* 2016; 22: 1295-1300.
19. Choudhary NS, Saraf N, Saigal S, Gautam D, Lipi L, Rastogi A, et al. Rapid reversal of liver steatosis with life style modification in highly motivated liver donors. *J Clin Exp Hepatol.* 2015; 5: 123-126.
20. Nakamuta M, Morizono S, Soejima Y, Yoshizumi T, Aishima S, Takasugi SI, et al. Short-term intensive treatment for donors with hepatic steatosis in living-donor liver transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2005; 80: 608-612.
21. Oshita A, Tashiro H, Amano H, Kobayashi T, Onoe T, Ide K, et al. Safety and feasibility of diet-treated donors with steatotic livers at the initial consultation for living-donor liver transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2012; 93: 1024-1030.
22. Hwang S, Lee SG, Jang SJ, Cho SH, Kim KH, Ahn CS, et al. The effect of donor weight reduction on hepatic steatosis for living donor liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant.* 2004; 10: 721-725.
23. Gupta A, Patil NS, Mohapatra N, Benjamin J, Thapar S, Kumar A, et al. Lifestyle optimization leads to superior liver regeneration in live liver donors and decreases early allograft dysfunction in recipients: A randomized control trial. *Ann Surg.* 2023; 278: e430-e439.

24. Trakroo S, Bhardwaj N, Garg R, Esfeh JM. Weight loss interventions in living donor liver transplantation as a tool in expanding the donor pool: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2021; 27: 3682-3692.
25. Chung JH, Ryu JH, Yang KH, Choi BH, Park Y, Lee TB, et al. Efficacy and safety of weight reduction of the donor in hepatic steatosis for living donor liver transplantation. *Ann Transplant.* 2020; 25: e923211-1-e923211-7.
26. Pamecha V, Patil NS, Parthasarathy K, Sinha PK, Mohapatra N, Rastogi A, et al. Expanding donor pool for live donor liver transplantation: Utilization of donors with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis after optimization. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2022; 407: 1575-1584.
27. Gupta A, Chaudhary A, Sood G, Kumar N, Jamir I, Shriya A, et al. General-motors diet: A quick fix for steatotic live liver donors. *iLIVER.* 2023; 2: 151-155.
28. El-Badry AM, Graf R, Clavien PA. Omega 3-omega 6: What is right for the liver? *J Hepatol.* 2007; 47: 718-725.
29. Lu W, Li S, Li J, Wang J, Zhang R, Zhou Y, et al. Effects of omega-3 fatty acid in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract.* 2016; 2016: 1459790.
30. Parker HM, Johnson NA, Burdon CA, Cohn JS, O'Connor HT, George J. Omega-3 supplementation and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2012; 56: 944-951.
31. Capanni M, Calella F, Biagini MR, Genise S, Raimondi L, Bedogni G, et al. Prolonged n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation ameliorates hepatic steatosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A pilot study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2006; 23: 1143-1151.
32. Aghemo A, Alekseeva OP, Angelico F, Bakulin IG, Bakulina NV, Bordin D, et al. Role of silymarin as antioxidant in clinical management of chronic liver diseases: A narrative review. *Ann Med.* 2022; 54: 1548-1560.
33. Matveev AV, Konjaeva EI, Kurchenko VP, Shchekatikhina AS. [Hepatoprotective properties of silymarin]. *Eksp Klin Gastroenterol.* 2011; 130-135. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21560654/>.
34. Gillessen A, Schmidt HH. Silymarin as supportive treatment in liver diseases: A narrative review. *Adv Ther.* 2020; 37: 1279-1301.
35. Saadati S, Hatami B, Yari Z, Shahrabaf MA, Eghtesad S, Mansour A, et al. The effects of curcumin supplementation on liver enzymes, lipid profile, glucose homeostasis, and hepatic steatosis and fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2019; 73: 441-449.
36. Saadati S, Sadeghi A, Mansour A, Yari Z, Poustchi H, Hedayati M, et al. Curcumin and inflammation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized, placebo controlled clinical trial. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2019; 19: 133.
37. Panahi Y, Kianpour P, Mohtashami R, Jafari R, Simental-Mendía LE, Sahebkar A. Curcumin lowers serum lipids and uric acid in subjects with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized controlled trial. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 2016; 68: 223-229.
38. Izzo C, Annunziata M, Melara G, Sciorio R, Dallio M, Masarone M, et al. The role of resveratrol in liver disease: A comprehensive review from in vitro to clinical trials. *Nutrients.* 2021; 13: 933.
39. Poulsen MK, Nellesmann B, Bibby BM, Stødkilde-Jørgensen H, Pedersen SB, Grønbaek H, et al. No effect of resveratrol on VLDL-TG kinetics and insulin sensitivity in obese men with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2018; 20: 2504-2509.

40. Armstrong MJ, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, Barton D, Hull D, Parker R, et al. Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): A multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet*. 2016; 387: 679-690.
41. Sathyanarayana P, Jogi M, Muthupillai R, Krishnamurthy R, Samson SL, Bajaj M. Effects of combined exenatide and pioglitazone therapy on hepatic fat content in type 2 diabetes. *Obesity*. 2011; 19: 2310-2315.
42. Bajaj M, Suraamornkul S, Piper P, Hardies LJ, Glass L, Cersosimo E, et al. Decreased plasma adiponectin concentrations are closely related to hepatic fat content and hepatic insulin resistance in pioglitazone-treated type 2 diabetic patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004; 89: 200-206.
43. Taneja S, Gupta S, Wadhawan M, Goyal N. Single-lobe living donor liver transplant in a morbidly obese cirrhotic patient preceded by laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Case Rep Transplant*. 2013; 2013: 279651.
44. Obed A, Bashir A, Jarrad A. First right lobe living-donor hepatectomy after sleeve gastrectomy. *BMC Surg*. 2018; 18: 31.
45. Garcia D, Riveros S, Ochoa G, Rebolledo P, Achurra P, Briceño E, et al. Right lobe liver donation after bariatric surgery. A case series of 4 living donors. *Transplant Proc*. 2022; 54: 2212-2216.
46. Neuberger J, Patel J, Caldwell H, Davies S, Hebditch V, Hollywood C, et al. Guidelines on the use of liver biopsy in clinical practice from the British society of gastroenterology, the royal college of radiologists and the royal college of pathology. *Gut*. 2020; 69: 1382-1403.
47. Bedossa P. Histological assessment of NAFLD. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2016; 61: 1348-1355.
48. Peloso A, Tihy M, Moeckli B, Rubbia-Brandt L, Toso C. Clearing steatosis prior to liver surgery for colorectal metastasis: A narrative review and case illustration. *Nutrients*. 2022; 14: 5340.
49. Sultana A, Brooke-Smith M, Ullah S, Figueras J, Rees M, Vauthey JN, et al. Prospective evaluation of the international study group for liver surgery definition of post hepatectomy liver failure after liver resection: An international multicentre study. *HPB*. 2018; 20: 462-469.
50. Fagenson AM, Pitt HA, Moten AS, Karhadkar SS, Di Carlo A, Lau KN. Fatty liver: The metabolic syndrome increases major hepatectomy mortality. *Surgery*. 2021; 169: 1054-1060.
51. Testa G, Nadalin S, Klair T, Florman S, Balci D, Frola C, et al. Optimal surgical workup to ensure safe recovery of the donor after living liver donation-a systematic review of the literature and expert panel recommendations. *Clin Transplant*. 2022; 36: e14641.
52. Jensen MD, Haymond MW, Gerich JE, Cryer PE, Miles JM. Lipolysis during fasting. Decreased suppression by insulin and increased stimulation by epinephrine. *J Clin Invest*. 1987; 79: 207-213.
53. Van der Windt DJ, Sud V, Zhang H, Tsung A, Huang H. The effects of physical exercise on fatty liver disease. *Gene Express*. 2018; 18: 89-101.
54. Kirk E, Reeds DN, Finck BN, Mayurranjan MS, Patterson BW, Klein S. Dietary fat and carbohydrates differentially alter insulin sensitivity during caloric restriction. *Gastroenterology*. 2009; 136: 1552-1560.
55. Marcos A, Ham JM, Fisher RA, Odzinski AT, Posner MP. Single-center analysis of the first 40 adult-to-adult living donor liver transplants using the right lobe. *Liver Transplant*. 2000; 6: 296-301.
56. Kinoshita K, Beppu T, Sato N, Akahoshi S, Yuki H, Yoshida Y. Preoperative 1-week diet can markedly decrease blood loss during hepatectomy. *Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019; 4: 20.

57. Barth Jr RJ, Mills JB, Suriawinata AA, Putra J, Tosteson TD, Axelrod D, et al. Short-term preoperative diet decreases bleeding after partial hepatectomy: Results from a multi-institutional randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg.* 2019; 269: 48-52.
58. Reeves JG, Suriawinata AA, Ng DP, Holubar SD, Mills JB, Barth Jr RJ. Short-term preoperative diet modification reduces steatosis and blood loss in patients undergoing liver resection. *Surgery.* 2013; 154: 1031-1037.
59. Olsson KE, Saltin B. Variation in total body water with muscle glycogen changes in man. *Acta Physiol Scand.* 1970; 80: 11-18.