

Review

Lung Transplantation: Selection of Candidates

Christopher Thomas ^{1,*}, Matthias Williams ², Oksana A. Shlobin ¹

1. Inova Fairfax Medical Center, Falls Church, VA, USA; E-Mails: christopher.thomas@inova.org; oksana.shlobin@inova.org
2. Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, MD, USA; E-Mail: mw86@buffalo.edu

* **Correspondence:** Christopher Thomas; E-Mail: christopher.thomas@inova.org

Academic Editor: Shambhu Aryal**Special Issue:** [Advances in Lung Transplant](#)*OBM Transplantation*

2023, volume 7, issue 4

doi:10.21926/obm.transplant.2304197

Received: August 07, 2023**Accepted:** September 30, 2023**Published:** October 11, 2023

Abstract

Lung transplantation is a last-resort treatment option for patients with end stage lung disease. The procedure is being performed more frequently and scientific advances continue to be made, but the median post-transplant survival is far shorter than other solid organ transplant recipients. Candidate selection is a resource intensive process that attempts to balance risks of the procedure with the benefits of much higher quality of life. Transplant centers must weigh disease specific considerations, medical comorbidities, and psychosocial factors with the likelihood of a successful transplantation. While the candidate selection process is exceptionally challenging, it leads to many patients undergoing successful lung transplantation.

Keywords

Lung transplantation; candidate selection; risk stratification; extracorporeal life support



© 2023 by the author. This is an open access article distributed under the conditions of the [Creative Commons by Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is correctly cited.

1. Introduction

Lung transplantation is increasingly performed throughout the world for end stage lung diseases, with 4452 patients receiving lung transplants at 260 centers worldwide in 2017 [1], and over 2500 patients receiving lung transplants in the US alone during 2021 [2]. While survival for lung transplant recipients is not as high as that of other solid organ transplants, survival continues to improve, with the median survival of the most recent cohort (2010-2017) of lung transplant recipients being 6.7 years [1]. Lung transplantation also confers substantial health-related quality-of-life (HRQL) benefits (which vary somewhat by recipient diagnosis) [3].

As the field advances, there are fewer absolute contraindications to lung transplantation, and lung transplantation centers are becoming more comfortable with medically complex patients. The candidate selection process can be challenging, with multiple medical (disease specific and comorbid), surgical and social considerations in each potential candidate.

Donated lungs which are suitable for transplantation are far outnumbered by the patients who will benefit from lung transplantation. These scarce and lifesaving resources must be therefore allocated in an ethical way to maximize the benefit to the recipients. Various allocation systems and policies are used throughout the world, but they are all intended to maximize the ethical principles of utility, justice and respect for persons [4]. The principle of utility holds that organs should be allocated in such a way as to maximize the net overall good, while minimizing harms. As such, clinicians should aim to select patients who are sick enough to benefit from lung transplantation, but not so sick that the transplantation would lead to death. Death as a direct consequence of the lung transplant process not only harms the patient themselves, but also removes the organs from the donor pool where they would have benefited another patient.

The ethical principle of justice posits that available organs be allocated fairly to all eligible recipients; that is, all patients should be given equal consideration. According to the Organ Procurement & Transplant Network statement, the following factors should be considered when applying the principle of justice: medical urgency, likelihood of finding a suitable organ in the future, wait list time, first versus repeat transplant, age, and geographical fairness.

An important consideration is that race, gender, and socioeconomic status are excluded from utility models for organ allocation. Although there may be data that a particular group has worse post-transplantation outcomes, it is important to not withhold organs from patients in that group, so as not to add “insult to injury” by further disadvantaging a particular person or group.

The principle of respect for persons states that a patient has the right to autonomy; that is, they can exercise this right by making their own decisions. In the context of lung transplant, patients have the right to a full candidacy evaluation and education about candidate selection. They can then make their own decisions if they wish to proceed with evaluation. However, patients must understand that undergoing an evaluation does not equate with suitability for transplant.

2. Timing for Referral, Evaluation and Listing for Lung Transplantation

In general, patients with advanced progressive lung disease should be referred and evaluated for lung transplant as early in their disease course as possible. In fact, patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) should be referred for evaluation at a lung transplant center at the time of diagnosis given an unpredictable disease course [5]. Early referral allows time for the evaluation to take place and for modifiable barriers to lung transplantation, such as frailty, deconditioning,

over/underweight, and medical comorbidities such as diabetes to be addressed [6]. Patients who are referred “too early” can be monitored closely at 3-to-6-month intervals. Patients who are referred “too late,” however, may have barriers that are difficult or impossible to overcome.

A full evaluation for lung transplantation includes medical and surgical evaluation, as well as assessments of nutritional, psychosocial and frailty status. Each patient’s circumstances are unique, combining lung disease specific factors with age, medical comorbidities, psychosocial factors, and nutritional status. There are some risk factors that are considered absolute contraindications to lung transplantation, which include lack of patient willingness, active or recent malignancy, repeated non-adherence to medical therapy, active substance abuse, and the presence of severe medical comorbidities such as renal or hepatic failure, stroke, or acute coronary syndrome [6]. *See Figure 1 for full list of absolute contraindications as outlined by the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT).*

Risk factors can change over time and may not be a contraindication for referral, but when present at the time of listing or while listed for lung transplantation may increase risk for poor transplant outcomes. There was 100% consensus (24 committee members) for the content of the entirety of Table 2.

ABSOLUTE CONTRAINDICATIONS:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lack of patient willingness or acceptance of transplant 2. Malignancy with high risk of recurrence or death related to cancer 3. Glomerular filtration rate < 40 mL/min/1.73m² unless being considered for multi-organ transplant 4. Acute coronary syndrome or myocardial infarction within 30 days (excluding demand ischemia) 5. Stroke within 30 days 6. Liver cirrhosis with portal hypertension or synthetic dysfunction unless being considered for multi-organ transplant 7. Acute liver failure 8. Acute renal failure with rising creatinine or on dialysis and low likelihood of recovery 9. Septic shock 10. Active extrapulmonary or disseminated infection 11. Active tuberculosis infection 12. HIV infection with detectable viral load 13. Limited functional status (e.g. non-ambulatory) with poor potential for post-transplant rehabilitation 14. Progressive cognitive impairment 15. Repeated episodes of non-adherence without evidence of improvement (Note: For pediatric patients this is not an absolute contraindication and ongoing assessment of non-adherence should occur as they progress through different developmental stages.) 16. Active substance use or dependence including current tobacco use, vaping, marijuana smoking, or IV drug use 17. Other severe uncontrolled medical condition expected to limit survival after transplant
RISK FACTORS WITH HIGH OR SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASED RISK:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Age > 70 years 2. Severe coronary artery disease that requires coronary artery bypass grafting at transplant 3. Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction < 40% 4. Significant cerebrovascular disease 5. Severe esophageal dysmotility 6. Untreatable hematologic disorders including bleeding diathesis, thrombophilia, or severe bone marrow dysfunction 7. BMI > 35 kg/m² 8. BMI < 16 kg/m² 9. Limited functional status with potential for post-transplant rehabilitation 10. Psychiatric, psychological or cognitive conditions with potential to interfere with medical adherence without sufficient support systems 11. Unreliable support system or caregiving plan 12. Lack of understanding of disease and / or transplant despite teaching 13. <i>Mycobacterium abscessus</i> infection 14. <i>Lomentospora prolificans</i> infection 15. <i>Burkholderia cenocepacia</i> or <i>gladioli</i> infection 16. Hepatitis B or C infection with detectable viral load and liver fibrosis 17. Chest wall or spinal deformity expected to cause restriction after transplant 18. Extracorporeal life support 19. Retransplant <1 year following initial lung transplant 20. Retransplant for restrictive CLAD 21. Retransplant for AMR as etiology for CLAD
RISK FACTORS:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Risk factors with unfavorable implications for short and / or long-term outcomes after lung transplant. 2. While acceptable for lung transplant programs to consider patients with these risk factors, multiple risk factors together may increase risk for adverse post lung transplant outcomes. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Age 65-70 years 2. Glomerular filtration rate 40-60 mL/min/1.73m² 3. Mild to moderate coronary artery disease 4. Severe coronary artery disease that can be revascularized via percutaneous coronary intervention prior to transplant 5. Patients with prior coronary artery bypass grafting 6. Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction 40-50% 7. Peripheral vascular disease 8. Connective tissue diseases (scleroderma, lupus, inflammatory myopathies) 9. Severe gastroesophageal reflux disease 10. Esophageal dysmotility 11. Thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, or anemia with high likelihood of persistence after transplant 12. Osteoporosis 13. BMI 30-34.9 kg/m² 14. BMI 16-17 kg/m² 15. Frailty 16. Hypoalbuminemia 17. Diabetes that is poorly controlled 18. Edible marijuana use 19. <i>Scedosporium apiospermum</i> infection 20. HIV infection with undetectable viral load 21. Previous thoracic surgery 22. Prior pleurodesis 23. Mechanical ventilation 24. Retransplant >1 year for obstructive CLAD

Abbreviations: AMR, antibody mediated rejection; BMI, body mass index; CLAD, chronic lung allograft dysfunction.

Figure 1 Risk factors for poor post-transplant outcomes. (From Leard et al.) [6].

There are many other risk factors that confer varying levels of increased risk for lung transplantation. These include older age, cardiovascular disease, over/underweight, frailty, psychiatric illnesses, infections, re-transplantation, and use of ECLS at the time of transplant [6] (Figure 1). While none of these relative contraindications by themselves necessarily precludes lung transplantation, their conferred risk is additive in multiples, and centers should perform an individualized assessment in the context of that centers experience.

3. Discussion of Individual Risk Factors for Poor Post Lung Transplantation Outcomes

3.1 Age

There is no officially accepted upper age limit for selection of candidates for lung transplantation [6]. However, older age is the most significant risk factor for death following lung transplantation [7], with recipients older than 70 years of age having significantly worse survival than recipients closer to the average lung transplant recipient age of 59. Interestingly, patients under the age of 30 also have increased risk of post-transplant death, which is likely driven by psychosocial factors as well as specific medical factors such as immune responses [8].

While older age is a very important predictor of post lung transplantation mortality, there is data that suggests that short term (30-day, 3-month and 1-year) outcomes for recipients over the age of 70 have improved substantially since the year 2000, and are comparable to patients in their 60s [9]. However, long term (3- and 5-year) survival for recipients aged >70 is substantially lower than patients in their 60s. This data is almost certainly influenced by selection bias, where the older patients are very carefully selected to have minimal other medical comorbidities that would confer increased risk of mortality after lung transplantation.

As centers become more skilled at guiding older patients through the lung transplantation process leading to better perioperative and short-term outcomes, they should still consider older age as an important risk factor for increased long-term mortality. In fact, research on community preferences has shown that the general public prefers that organs preferentially go to younger recipients, in order to achieve maximum net gain in recipient survival and quality of life [10]. Therefore, it may be ethically justifiable to preferentially allocate scarce organs to younger lung transplant candidates [6]. To address this issue, it may be prudent to allocate older donor lungs to older recipients, which has been shown to be an effective approach that does not result in increased post-transplant mortality [11, 12].

3.2 Malignancy

All patients who are being evaluated for lung transplantation should undergo guideline directed age-appropriate cancer screening [6]. Post-transplantation immunosuppression is a definite risk factor for the development of new malignancy, however the effects of immunosuppression on the risk of recurrence of malignancy is less well known [13]. Active malignancy with a high risk of death is an absolute contraindication to lung transplantation. Whether patients who have a history of treated malignancy are good candidates for lung transplantation is a much more complicated question. In general, patients with a history of low-grade malignancy may be candidates for lung transplantation, whereas those with higher grades require long (i.e., 5 years) disease-free wait times after treatment before consideration for lung transplantation. Lung transplant centers should work

closely with oncology teams and follow malignancy specific guidelines [13, 14] for each patient evaluation. Newer tests that screen for a wide range of malignancies using cell free DNA having varying sensitivities and specificities [15], but more research is needed to determine their optimal role in the evaluation of lung transplant candidates.

3.3 Chronic Renal Dysfunction

Lung transplantation confers significant risk of renal injury through several mechanisms, including perioperative hypoperfusion and the use of nephrotoxic medications such as calcineurin inhibitors and antibiotics. Patients with renal dysfunction (eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²) who undergo lung transplantation have significantly worse 1- and 3-year mortality than patients who have eGFR >60 at the time of lung transplantation [16]. The optimal cutoff value for eGFR is not fully understood; other data suggest that lower values, such as 50, may be used [17]. In the lung allocation score (LAS) era, there has been a gradual increase in the incidence of post-lung transplantation hemodialysis, and these patients experience significantly worse 1- and 5-year mortality [18]. Lung transplant centers should consider each candidate's renal dysfunction in the context of their other medical comorbidities and their center's experience which such patients to determine the optimal eGFR cutoff for lung transplant candidacy.

3.4 Cardiovascular Disease

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is common in the aging population, and should be screened for in all lung transplantation candidates [19]. The optimal CAD screening modality is not known; most centers opt to perform invasive coronary angiography. Several retrospective studies have shown that in carefully selected patients, CAD does not confer increased risk for post-transplant mortality [20, 21], even in patients who undergo concurrent coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) at the time of lung transplantation. A history of CABG was historically considered an absolute contraindication to lung transplantation, but single right lung transplantation may be a safe option for some patients with a history of CABG [22]. Patients with CAD should be carefully evaluated by transplant pulmonologists, cardiologists, and cardiothoracic surgeons to determine their candidacy for lung transplantation.

Peripheral vascular disease (PVD) is common in lung transplant candidates, but it is unclear whether it confers significant perioperative (i.e. limb ischemia related to extracorporeal life support [ECLS]) or long term mortality risk after lung transplantation [23]. If PVD results in significant claudication, post-transplant rehabilitation may be impacted. Lung transplant teams should carefully consider PVD in the individual patient's overall transplant candidacy.

Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) has long been considered an absolute contraindication to lung transplantation at most centers, and there is very little data on post-lung transplantation outcomes in these patients. Patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) have a higher risk of primary graft dysfunction after lung transplantation [24], though recent guidelines do not address HFpEF as a risk factor in lung transplantation [6]. Patients with right ventricular (RV) failure due to pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) or severe chronic lung disease are candidates for lung transplantation, as the right heart failure will improve with the reduction in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) after lung transplantation. Patients with PAH have historically had higher perioperative mortality but favorable long-term outcomes [25]. With the

increasing use of perioperative extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for RV support in the last 2 decades, patients with PAH have been shown to have excellent 1-year outcomes at some centers [26].

3.5 Gastrointestinal Disease

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is associated with both early allograft injury [27] and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) [28]. In patients with GERD, anti-reflux surgery either before or soon after lung transplantation is associated with decreased early allograft injury [29, 30]. Esophageal dysmotility and gastroparesis are other common comorbidities in lung transplant candidates which increase the risk of aspiration, especially in patients with systemic sclerosis. However, lung transplant recipients with systemic sclerosis have similar post-transplant survival and rates of BOS to patients with non-CTD interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) [31], even in cohorts with high rates of severe esophageal dysfunction [32]. Lung transplant centers should take a careful multidisciplinary approach to candidates with significant gastrointestinal issues to mitigate post-transplant complications, with consideration of prolonged postoperative enteral feeding in patients with severe gastrointestinal issues.

Hypoalbuminemia has many causes but is often a marker of poor nutritional status in lung transplant candidates, and it is a risk factor for post-lung transplant mortality in multiple studies [33-35].

3.6 Connective Tissue Disease

Patients with connective tissue disease (CTD) related lung disease frequently have extrapulmonary manifestations, including esophageal dysmotility, renal dysfunction and cardiac abnormalities that may confer increased risk after lung transplantation. Active CTD that cannot be controlled with immunosuppression is a contraindication for lung transplantation. However, the patients with CTD who undergo lung transplantation do not appear to have worse outcomes when compared to patients with other indications, such as IPF or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [36, 37].

Patients with lung disease related to an idiopathic inflammatory myopathy (IIM), particularly those with anti-melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (anti-MDA5) antibodies, are at high risk for rapidly progressive ILD and have a particular poor prognosis. Lung transplantation is an option for patients with lung disease related to an IIM [38], and ECMO is being increasingly used as a strategy to bridge these patients to lung transplantation [39, 40]. These patients are at high risk for malignancy [41] and should be carefully screened.

3.7 Hematology

Patients with hematological abnormalities are at increased risk for post-transplantation complications, and they are often intolerant of routine post-transplant immunosuppressive and antimicrobial therapies. Patients with bleeding disorders are at increased risk for perioperative bleeding. Patients with IPF may have a telomere mutation, which can be associated with myelosuppression and malignancy, and portend worse post-transplant outcomes [42].

3.8 Body Mass Index (BMI)

Over- and underweight patients have worse outcomes after lung transplantation, though the mechanisms for this observation are not fully understood and are likely multifactorial. Some studies suggest that both overweight (BMI >25 and <30) and obese (BMI >30) patients have increased risk for mortality and primary graft dysfunction after lung transplantation [43], while other studies suggest that it is only BMI >35 confers the significant risk of post-transplant mortality [44]. Weight loss is strongly recommended for overweight and obese lung transplant candidates, and multiple studies have shown that weight loss prior to lung transplantation results in improved post-operative survival [45, 46]. BMI >35 is an absolute contraindication for lung transplantation in most centers, with some adopting a more stringent cut-off of 32 and above.

Patients who are underweight (BMI <18.5) have consistently worse outcomes across these studies, though patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) and a BMI <17 appear to have similar outcomes to both patients with CF and normal weight and patients with COPD of all BMIs.

Patients who are either over- and underweight may achieve optimal weight with intensive multidisciplinary nutritional and exercise interventions, though this may take many months, which highlights the need for these patients to be referred for lung transplant evaluation as early as possible.

3.9 Frailty and Functional Status

Frailty is the accumulation of deficits across multiple systems that affects the body's physiologic reserve in response to stressors. While frailty is a well-established risk factor for early mortality following lung transplantation [47], frailty related to chronic lung disease can improve after transplantation [48]. There are several difference models that can be used to assess frailty prior to transplant, including phenotypic and cumulative deficit models. While these models may predict short- and long-term mortality after lung transplantation, there is poor correlation among these models [49], and clinicians should use a multimodal approach to assess frailty in lung transplant candidates.

Functional status is a patient's ability to perform their daily activities and can be measured in different ways. As measured by pre-operative 6-minute walk distance (6MWD), exercise capacity is significantly associated with both waitlist and post-transplant survival [50, 51] in patients who undergo lung transplantation. Pulmonary rehabilitation plays an essential role in preserving and improving exercise capacity in lung transplant candidates [52] and facilitating functional recovery post-transplantation [53].

3.10 Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) Antibodies

HLA antibodies are formed by the body against certain tissue types after pregnancy, blood transfusion and organ transplantation. The presence of HLA antibodies can significantly limit the pool of available donors for a particular lung transplant candidate, and predict a higher rate of antibody mediated rejection (AMR) [54]. The presence of preformed donor specific HLA antibodies (DSA), particularly if they are complement-fixing, is associated with poor 1-year survival [55] after lung transplantation. Lung transplant centers have approached this issue in different ways, with some centers developing protocols to accept organs with cytotoxicity crossmatch negative DSAs,

which have shown similar short- and long-term survival [56] and allograft function [57]. These protocols use virtual crossmatches to determine levels of cross reactivity between the donor and recipient, and include pre- and post-operative plasma exchange, anti-B-cell agents such as rituximab, and intravenous immunoglobulins, in addition to standard immunosuppression [58]. This is an active area of research, and protocols addressing how best to approach lung transplant candidates with DSAs are evolving.

3.11 Infectious Disease Risk Factors

There are several infectious organisms that confer increased risk after lung transplantation, due to their aggressive and fastidious nature as well as multi-drug resistance patterns. *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (BCC) represents nine genovars, including *Burkholderia cenocepacia* and *Burkholderia multivorans*. These organisms are intrinsically multi-drug resistant and are frequently present in lung transplant candidates with CF. Pre-transplant *Burkholderia cenocepacia* infection is associated with very high 1 year mortality in lung transplant recipients with CF [59], and is considered a strict contraindication to lung transplantation at most centers. However, some centers have described treatment of post-lung transplantation *Burkholderia cenocepacia* infections with regimens that include ceftazidime/avibactam [60], with mixed results. Treatment of BCC often requires combination antibiotic therapy, and lung transplantation in patients colonized with BCC should only take place at experienced centers.

Non-tuberculous mycobacterium (NTM), specifically *Mycobacterium abscessus*, are difficult to treat infections. Some analyses suggest that *Mycobacterium abscessus* infections in lung transplant recipients confer an increased risk of death [61], whereas multiple other case series have shown that patients with pre-operative *Mycobacterium abscessus* infection can be successfully transplanted if they undergo aggressive pre- and post-operative treatment with prolonged multi-drug antibiotic regimens [62-64].

Molds including *Scedosporium apiospermum* and *Lomentospora prolificans* are multi-drug resistant organisms that can cause disseminated infections in lung transplant recipients. Some centers consider colonization with these organisms to be a contraindication to lung transplantation, while several studies demonstrated that with lifelong azole treatment, patients with CF and *Scedosporium apiospermum* colonization may be successfully transplanted [65]. Another study found that post-transplant infection with *Scedosporium apiospermum* or *Lomentospora prolificans* did not confer a survival disadvantage, so long as prolonged anti-fungal treatment was instituted [66]. In general, *Lomentospora prolificans* infection or colonization is considered a stronger contraindication to lung transplantation than *Scedosporium apiospermum*, as *Lomentospora prolificans* is resistant to virtually all available antifungals.

Lung transplant recipients with a history hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection can rarely experience HBV reactivation as a consequence of immunosuppression [67], but this does not appear to have an effect on survival. As such, HBV infection is not a contraindication to lung transplantation if the candidate does not have concomitant liver disease. Entecavir is a safe and effective HBV prophylactic option in lung transplant recipients [68].

Safe and effective treatment for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection with direct acting antivirals (DAAs) has led to a substantial decrease in patients developing HCV related liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma requiring liver transplantation [69, 70]. Lung transplant candidates with

active HCV infection should be treated prior to lung transplantation [6], though patients with active HCV infection can be transplanted and treated with DAAs afterwards, with similar outcomes to HCV negative recipients [71].

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection has historically been considered a strict contraindication to lung transplantation, given concerns about post-transplant immunosuppression leading to significant complications. However, several centers have reported good outcomes after lung transplantation in patients with well controlled HIV infection [72-74], with close attention to concomitant infections and drug-drug interactions.

3.12 Psychosocial Considerations and Risk Factors

All patients being considered for lung transplantation should undergo a comprehensive psychosocial evaluation, with assessment of mental health history, cognitive function, social support, substance use history, treatment adherence and health behaviors [75]. Psychosocial factors that are strict contraindications to lung transplantation include active substance abuse, cognitive impairment, and medical non-adherence [6] (see Figure 1). Patients require significant social support both before and after transplant, and inadequate social support needs to be addressed and mitigated prior to listing. Severe psychiatric conditions that affect medical compliance are a contraindication to transplant. Some psychiatric conditions, such as anxiety and depression, need to be assessed for and managed aggressively to minimize their effect on post-transplant medical adherence and rehabilitation. Patients with a history of medical non-adherence may be given the opportunity to improve their behavior to become eligible for lung transplantation, especially if the patients are young or have modifiable contributors, such as transportation or social support issues.

Patients with severe or progressive cognitive issues, such as dementia, are not suitable candidates for lung transplantation [75]. However, some patients may have mild cognitive impairment from chronic lung disease and hypoxemia, which may improve or worsen after lung transplantation [76, 77].

Patients with a history of substance abuse may be considered for lung transplantation if they have been adequately treated and have a sustained period of abstinence of at least 6 months. Patients should abstain from alcohol and cannabis during the waitlist period and after transplantation. Of note, many liver transplant centers have suspended the 6 month rule for alcohol abstinence, as it does not predict outcomes or risk of relapse [78]. However, less is known about outcomes of lung transplantation in patients with shorter periods of abstinence, and most centers continue to use the 6 month rule.

Inhaled marijuana use has been associated with fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients [79], and even oral cannabinoids can interact with immunosuppressants [80]. To be a candidate for lung transplantation, all patients must be abstinent from tobacco or nicotine products for at least 6 months. Additionally, patients should avoid exposure to secondhand smoke to minimize its effect on transplanted organs.

Opioid use in lung transplant candidates is common. Some series suggest that opioids can be safely used for dyspnea prior to transplantation [81], and these patients have similar survival to those who do not use opiates [82]. Current guidelines recommend that patients with a history of

opioid use disorder on medication assisted treatment be considered on a case-by-case basis in consultation with a psychiatrist [6].

4. Other Considerations

4.1 Surgical Considerations in the Selection of Lung Transplant Candidates

Patients who have a history of cardiothoracic surgery, including pleurodesis, may have pleural adhesions that can result in significant intraoperative bleeding and longer cardiopulmonary bypass times, which can lead to post-operative respiratory failure and renal dysfunction [83]. Despite these complications, prior cardiothoracic surgery does not appear to affect short- and long-term survival after lung transplantation [84]. Potential lung transplant candidates who develop a pneumothorax should have their management discussed with a transplant center. All pneumothorax management options should be considered, with chemical pleurodesis being used as a last resort.

Patients with significant thoracic cage abnormalities, such as spinal or chest wall deformities, present unique surgical challenges to lung transplantation, and require an individualized approach. Lobar lung transplantation may be an option for patients with small chest size, and offers similar survival to full lung transplantation [85, 86].

4.2 ECLS as a Bridge to Transplantation (BTT)

ECLS may be used to bridge critically ill patients to successful lung transplantation in highly specialized and experienced centers. Lung transplant candidates with refractory hypoxemia or hypercapnia can be managed with veno-venous (VV) ECMO, whereas patients with significant RV failure are more appropriate for veno-arterial (VA) ECMO. While significant extrapulmonary organ dysfunction is generally considered a contraindication to BTT with ECLS, patients with renal and hepatic dysfunction that is directly related to pulmonary hypertension (PH) and RV failure can improve with VA ECMO. Awake ECLS allows patients to avoid the pitfalls of mechanical ventilation and participate in medical decision making and physical therapy. In high volume centers, ECLS as a BTT results in good short- and long-term outcomes [87, 88].

4.3 Lung Re-Transplantation

The primary indication for lung re-transplantation is chronic lung allograft dysfunction (CLAD). Patients who undergo lung re-transplantation have overall worse outcomes as compared to primary lung transplantation, though this is primarily driven by the worse outcomes experienced by patients who undergo re-transplantation early (<1 year after primary lung transplantation) [89] and for the restrictive CLAD phenotype [90]. However, some centers report similar outcomes between primary and re-do lung transplantation in carefully selected patients [91]. In selecting candidates for lung re-transplantation, centers must carefully evaluate their candidates for drivers of CLAD, which include infections, acute rejection/alloimmunization, aspiration/GERD, and medical non-compliance [92].

4.4 Multiple Organ Transplantation

Multiple simultaneous organ transplant is a rare occurrence and should only be performed at high volume centers due to inherent complexity of post operative management of multiple transplanted organs. For lung transplant candidates, the simultaneous transplant of an additional organ (heart, liver, or kidney) should be considered when there is significant pre-operative organ dysfunction such that there is a low likelihood of survival with an isolated lung transplant, or if significant post-operative organ dysfunction is anticipated [6]. In the LAS era, heart-lung and lung-liver transplant candidates have higher waitlist mortality than lung-kidney and isolated lung transplant candidates [93].

Heart-lung transplant should be considered in patients with both heart and lung dysfunction, such as in PAH due to congenital heart disease and in sarcoidosis with concomitant pulmonary and cardiac disease [94]. PAH in the absence of CHD is a more controversial indication for heart-lung transplant. In retrospective studies in patients with PAH, heart-lung transplant recipients experience similar outcomes compared to isolated bilateral lung transplant recipients [95, 96], as native RV function is expected to improve after normalization of PVR. Patients with advanced lung disease and cardiac pathology amenable to surgical repair (such as intra-cardiac shunts or valvular disease) should be considered to simultaneous bilateral lung transplant and corrective surgery [97].

Indications for lung-liver transplant include advanced lung disease that meets lung transplant criteria with concomitant biopsy-proven cirrhosis and a portal gradient >10-12 mmHg [98]. Patients with advanced lung disease related to alpha-1 antitrypsin (A1AT) disease and CF have increased risk of underlying liver disease, with rates of cirrhosis between 19-43% in the former, and 22-47% in the latter [98]. For patients with LAS <50 undergoing lung-liver transplant, survival is non-inferior when compared to isolated lung transplant. However, LAS scores >50 and elevated Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score are associated with higher post-transplant mortality [99]. Interestingly, one study showed similar survival for lung-liver recipients compared to isolated lung transplant recipients with similar levels of liver dysfunction [100]. Contraindications to lung-liver transplant include albumin <2.0, INR >1.8, severe ascites or hepatic encephalopathy [101].

Indications for lung-kidney transplant include eGFR <35, as these patients are most likely to progress to ESRD [102]. Despite having elevated disease burden with multiorgan failure, patients with underlying renal dysfunction who undergo lung-kidney transplant have similar one- and five-year survival rate when compared to matched isolated lung transplant patients [16]. However, data is limited to case series and cohort data.

5. Disease Specific Considerations

5.1 COPD

The heterogeneity of COPD, with environmental, behavioral, and genetic etiologies leads to a variable disease course which can include advanced disease. Determining which patients with advanced COPD will progress to require lung transplantation relies on validated prognostic tools such as the BODE index [94]. The BODE index includes BMI, degree of obstruction (based on forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV₁]), dyspnea and exercise (as graded by 6MWD); its use is recommended by the 2014 ISHLT candidate selection guidelines [94]. However, recent studies indicate that the BODE index may overestimate mortality [103, 104]. Isolated FEV₁ is another marker

for transplant consideration, and cutoffs <20% predicted demonstrate a survival advantage for lung transplant [105].

Lung transplant teams must weigh these factors to achieve optimal transplant outcomes. A BODE index of 5-6, FEV₁ percent predicted between 20-25%, or a low diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DL_{CO}) should prompt referral for evaluation for lung transplantation. Trajectory of disease course also influences transplant, and patients should be referred to a lung transplant center if the BODE score increases more than 1 point in a year, if the ratio of pulmonary artery to aorta diameter on CT scan increases to greater than 1, or if patients perceive an unacceptable quality of life due to their disease [106, 107].

Prior to or concurrent with a lung transplant evaluation, providers can optimize COPD therapy through consideration of either surgical or bronchoscopic lung volume reduction (LVR) therapy. LVR therapy can improve exercise capacity, lung function, and quality of life; it may also confer a survival benefit in select patients [108, 109]. In patients undergoing a transplant evaluation, it can delay need for a transplant and optimize patient's nutritional status and exertional capacity prior to transplant [110, 111]. The downside of LVR is that it may result in pleural adhesions, which can increase operative times and bleeding [112] during lung transplantation. The modality of LVR also influences post-lung transplant outcomes, with surgical lung volume reduction associated with a higher rate of post-operative ECMO, whereas endoscopic lung volume reduction is associated with increased risk of wound infection [113]. LVR followed by bilateral lung transplant appears to show no effect on both short term and long-term mortality at one-, three- and five-year ranges [113].

Transplant listing should occur after BODE score is elevated [7-10], if FEV₁ reaches <20% predicted, if right heart catheterization (RHC) shows moderate to severe PH, and if evidence of hypercapnia. In addition, a history of severe exacerbations, or increased frequency of exacerbations should also prompt listing for transplant [6].

Median survival for lung transplant recipients with COPD is similar to overall median survival (5.6 vs. 5.8 years) [114]. Patients with COPD experience substantial HRQL improvement after lung transplantation, and recipient age does not significantly attenuate the quality-of-life benefits [3].

5.2 Interstitial Lung Disease

The poor prognosis associated with ILD, with between a three-to-five-year median survival if untreated, necessitates lung transplant consideration at the time of diagnosis. In addition, patients with fibrotic ILD, particularly IPF, can develop acute exacerbations (AE) that are associated with high mortality [115]. Fortunately, antifibrotic therapy in IPF with either nintedanib [116] or pirfenidone [117] slows disease progression, is associated with a reduced risk of AEs, and has demonstrated a survival benefit [118]. The antifibrotics show similar response in non-IPF fibrotic interstitial lung disease such as autoimmune ILD, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and idiopathic non-specific interstitial pneumonia [119]. Despite the benefits of antifibrotic therapy, no current therapy offers reversal of pulmonary fibrosis and therefore early lung transplant evaluation is crucial.

Lung transplantation provides a survival benefit in both IPF and non-IPF ILD for patients with a forced vital capacity (FVC) or DL_{CO} decline, need for hospitalization, evidence of frailty, oxygen requirements, or progressive symptoms [120-123]. In non-IPF ILD, early referral should occur with cases of familial fibrosis, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) positive pulmonary fibrosis

patients, pulmonary fibrosis due to sarcoidosis, ILD associated with connective tissue disease (CTD), or the Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome [124-126]. Familial pulmonary fibrosis patients require telemeropathy assessment, and close evaluation for hematologic abnormalities and underlying liver disease [6].

Patients should continue on antifibrotic therapy until the time of transplant, as they have not been shown to impact wound healing or outcomes [127]. Pulmonary function testing and functional evaluation can help guide transplant listing. A decline in any of the following parameters over a six-month time frame can be an indication for listing: a 10% decline in either FVC or DL_{CO}, a 5% decline in FVC with evidence of radiographic progression, or desaturation to <88% on six-minute walk testing or a decline of 50 meters or more [6]. Additional factors to weigh in transplant listing include evidence of PH on echocardiography or RHC due to decreased survival in ILD patients with PH [128], or hospitalization for acute exacerbation, pneumothorax, or respiratory decline [6].

5.3 Cystic Fibrosis

Until recently, CF was a common indication for transplant. The advent of CF modulator therapies has dramatically affected overall disease course for patients who are candidates for those therapies, with the resultant decline in the need for lung transplants for CF [129, 130]. For patients who are not candidates for CF modulator therapy, the natural disease progression and associated infectious and non-infectious complications illustrate the considerations required to optimize patients benefit from lung transplant.

The best indicator for lung transplantation remains percent predicted FEV₁, with values <30% associated with a median survival between 2-4 years [129]. In addition, providers should consider advanced disease factors such as a rapid decline in FEV₁, BMI <18, supplemental oxygen use, development of CF related diabetes mellitus requiring insulin, and more than one exacerbation per year. Other risks factors for CF mortality include resting hypercapnia (PaCO₂ >50), PH, massive hemoptysis requiring bronchial arterial embolization, pneumothorax, impaired functional status, and/or low six 6MWD [131-135]. Composite scores combining FEV₁, BMI, IV antibiotic use, hospitalization, steroids, oxygen or non-invasive ventilation use, or *Burkholderia cepacia* complex colonization can help prognosticate, with excellent external validity [136, 137]. Patients with more than four risk factors have 55% mortality at three years, while those with two or less risk factors had <1% risk of mortality at 3 years.

Certain complications of CF remain absolute contraindications to lung transplantation. As discussed earlier in this chapter, multidrug resistant organism (MDRO) bacterial infections such as with *Burkholderia cepacia* complex and *Mycobacterium abscessus* are contraindications at most centers [138], although recent small cohort data in *Burkholderia* shows no difference in outcomes compared to patients with no history of infection [139]. Non-infectious contraindications include malnutrition with BMI <18, hepatobiliary disease, or colorectal cancer [138]. Lung transplant candidates with CF should be screened with colonoscopy at age of 40, and routinely for hepatobiliary disease [140]. Evaluation of sinus disease is also paramount to minimize potential risk of post infectious complications and improve quality of life, although pre-transplant surgical intervention is not associated with improved survival [141-143].

5.4 Non-CF Bronchiectasis

Non-CF bronchiectasis represents 2.7% of all lung transplants between 1995-2018 [1]. Indications for transplant include the validated FACED and bronchiectasis severity index (BSI) scoring systems and FEV₁. The FACED score consists of FEV₁ (<50%), age (<70), chronic colonization (presence of *Pseudomonas*), extension (lobes affected), and dyspnea (modified medical research council [mMRC] scoring) [144]. The BSI incorporates variables of age, BMI, FEV₁, hospital admissions, exacerbations, dyspnea (mMRC score), *Pseudomonas* colonization, other bacterial colonization, and radiologic involvement [145]. A recent small observational cohort study showed no difference in scoring on survival [145]. FEV₁ is also associated with mortality in non-CF bronchiectasis with a single study showing 39% four-year mortality risk for patients with FEV₁ <30% [146]. A lung transplantation evaluation should be considered when patients reach a threshold of BSI score >9, a FACED score ≥5, or an FEV₁ <30%.

Lung transplant evaluation and prognostication for patients with non-CF bronchiectasis remain an area of ongoing study. Compared to CF-matched cohorts, non-CF bronchiectasis transplant patients appear to have a lower risk of death and five-year mortality of 25%; which illustrates the difficulty of prognostication compared to robust CF datasets [147].

5.5 Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension

Transplantation in PAH presents a clinical challenge, as the LAS system cannot fully capture both waitlist mortality and the rapid clinical deterioration that can occur. Current guidelines recommend use of serial scoring evaluation with either the REVEAL 2.0 and/or the 2015 ERS/ESC model to guide management [6, 148]. If PAH patients fail to achieve low risk status on serial scoring evaluation at three to six months, PAH therapy should be intensified. Patients who remain at a high-risk group despite optimization or therapy should be referred for lung transplant evaluation. In addition to clinical scoring systems, use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing and RV functional assessment with either trans-thoracic echocardiogram and/or cardiac MRI can further risk stratify patients [149, 150].

The decision of when to list patients with PAH is more challenging, though a new four strata risk stratification model [151] may be helpful in deciding which patients may benefit most from lung transplantation. In one retrospective study, patients who were intermediate-high risk despite receiving optimal PAH therapy were most likely to benefit from lung transplantation [152], whereas patients who achieved intermediate-low risk had a 1-year transplant-free survival of 95%, which suggests that these patients can be monitored closely without lung transplantation. Other indications for listing include REVEAL 2.0 score >10 on appropriate PAH therapy (including parenteral prostacyclin therapy), progressive hypoxemia, progressive renal or liver dysfunction, or life-threatening hemoptysis [6].

Unique considerations in PAH include multiorgan disease and the different etiologies of PAH. Renal dysfunction typically occurs secondary to cardiorenal syndrome and can improve post transplantation. Similarly, RV dysfunction in PAH heightens risk of liver dysfunction, which can also improve after lung transplantation. Familial PAH, pulmonary venous occlusive disease (PVOD), and CTD associated PAH also portend a complicated post-transplant course [148]. While some centers have had success with single lung transplantation in PAH [153], the bilateral lung transplantation provides superior outcomes in PAH [154], and is the procedure of choice at most centers.

5.6 Lymphangiomyomatosis (LAM)

LAM is a rare cystic lung disease that is an uncommon indication for lung transplantation. mTOR inhibitor therapy can prevent progression of disease and prevent the need for lung transplantation. Indications for transplant in LAM include FEV₁ <30%, exertional dyspnea (NYHA Class ≥III), or hypoxemia at rest (SpO₂ <88%) [155-157]. Although LAM can recur in the transplanted lung, recurrence does not appear to alter survival, and resumption of mTOR therapy can potentially eliminate recurrent disease [158]. Bilateral lung transplant is also recommended due to concern for pneumothorax in the native lung or in recurrent disease in the transplanted lung [159, 160].

Transplant considerations also include prior pleurectomy and intra-operative extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) use, as both factors increase risk of hemorrhage [158]. Angiomyolipomas, however, do not increase bleeding risk [160, 161]. mTOR inhibitor therapy should be transitioned from long acting (sirolimus) to short acting therapies (such as everolimus) [162] prior to transplant to prevent delayed anastomotic healing and anastomotic dehiscence [163]. The risks and benefits of continuing mTOR therapy while on the lung transplant wait list should be discussed with patients prior to transplant. Any disease progression while on mTOR therapy is a strong indication for transplant evaluation.

5.7 Thoracic Malignancy

Thoracic malignancy is both a rare and declining indication for lung transplant, and accounted for less than 0.1% of transplants between 1995-2018 [1]. The major concerns with lung transplantation for malignancy include increased recurrence rates and decreased survival with the exception of incidentally detected malignancy [164, 165], and require appropriate protocols for lung cancer surveillance within transplant programs [166].

The following disease states may be appropriate for treatment with lung transplantation: adenocarcinoma in situ, minimally invasive adenocarcinoma, lepidic predominant adenocarcinoma and/or multifocal lung adenocarcinoma with low invasive component and no evidence of lymph node involvement [167]. Transplant evaluation requires full body staging with imaging and lymph node sampling. Imaging for staging can include CT chest, abdomen, and pelvis with IV contrast, and/or a whole-body positron emission tomography scan with magnetic resonance imaging of the brain [167]. Lymph node sampling can include either endobronchial lymph node sampling or mediastinoscopy. Imaging should be repeated at three-month intervals while on the transplant waiting list [164, 168].

In patients who have isolated lung malignancy, lung transplantation may be considered if the following criteria are present: surgical resection of the malignancy is not feasible, either due to multifocal disease or if there is significant underlying pulmonary disease; the malignancy has caused significant respiratory compromise; the patient has failed or is not a candidate for medical oncologic therapy; and lung transplantation will provide a cure [6]. Furthermore, transplantation should be aborted if lymph node and/or metastatic spread is found intraoperatively during transplant [167, 168].

5.8 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS)

The rapidity and severity of ARDS compound the difficulties of pre-transplant evaluation and assessment. However, given the frequency of ARDS, several criteria can assist in transplant evaluation. Prognostic factors associated with recovery post-transplant in ARDS include younger age, the absence of medical comorbidities or extra-pulmonary dysfunction, an underlying pulmonary cause of ARDS, and the use of extracorporeal life support as a bridge to transplant [169, 170]. One factor associated with increased early mortality is ICU acquired muscle weakness [171]. Patients should be considered for transplant if on ECMO for greater than three weeks without clinical improvement, with persistent parenchymal infiltrates, and severely reduced lung compliance (increased driving pressure) [172, 173].

5.9 COVID-19 Related Lung Disease

The pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused millions of cases of ARDS and COVID-19 related ILD. While the long-term clinical course of COVID-19 is variable, some patients develop irreversible fibrosis and may be candidates for lung transplantation [174]. As much as possible, these patients should be evaluated using all the traditional metrics detailed earlier in this chapter. Importantly, potential lung transplant candidates with COVID-19 fibrosis must clear their original infection before they are candidates for transplant and the necessary immunosuppression. Additionally, many patients with COVID-19 ARDS and fibrosis may have some gradual improvement over a period of several months, so they should be observed for an adequate amount of time (i.e., at least 4-8 weeks) to ensure that lung transplantation is their only option [175]. PH is common in patients with COVID-19 ARDS and fibrosis, but it does not appear to confer increased waitlist or post-transplant mortality [176].

6. Conclusion

Lung transplantation is being performed more commonly throughout the world and is a last resort treatment option for patients with end stage lung disease. While survival after lung transplantation appears to be increasing, it remains lower than that of all the other solid organs. As lung transplant centers gain experience, they are becoming more comfortable selecting older and more medically complex patients. The field of lung transplantation continues to evolve in exciting ways, and careful evaluation of disease specific factors, comorbid medical conditions, and other risk factors in lung transplant candidates remains crucial to successful transplantation.

Author Contributions

Christopher Thomas, Matthias Williams, and Oksana Shlobin all contributed to writing this manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

1. Chambers DC, Cherikh WS, Harhay MO, Hayes D, Hsich E, Khush KK, et al. The International Thoracic Organ Transplant Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Thirty-sixth adult lung and heart–lung transplantation Report—2019; Focus theme: Donor and recipient size match. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2019; 38: 1042-1055.
2. Valapour M, Lehr CJ, Schladt DP, Smith JM, Goff R, Mupfudze TG, et al. OPTN/SRTR 2021 annual data report: Lung [Internet]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration; 2023. Available from: https://srtr.transplant.hrsa.gov/annual_reports/2021/Lung.aspx.
3. Singer LG, Chowdhury NA, Faughnan ME, Granton J, Keshavjee S, Marras TK, et al. Effects of recipient age and diagnosis on health-related quality-of-life benefit of lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015; 192: 965-973.
4. Organ Procurement & Transplant Network. Ethical principles in the allocation of human organs [Internet]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration; 2023. Available from: <https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/professionals/by-topic/ethical-considerations/ethical-principles-in-the-allocation-of-human-organs/>.
5. Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Richeldi L, Thomson CC, Inoue Y, Johkoh T, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (an update) and progressive pulmonary fibrosis in adults: An official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT clinical practice guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2022; 205: e18-e47.
6. Leard LE, Holm AM, Valapour M, Glanville AR, Attawar S, Aversa M, et al. Consensus document for the selection of lung transplant candidates: An update from the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2021; 40: 1349-1379.
7. Lehr CJ, Blackstone EH, McCurry KR, Thuita L, Tsuang WM, Valapour M. Extremes of age decrease survival in adults after lung transplant. *Chest*. 2020; 157: 907-915.
8. Paraskeva MA, Edwards LB, Levvey B, Stehlik J, Goldfarb S, Yusef RD, et al. Outcomes of adolescent recipients after lung transplantation: An analysis of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation Registry. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2018; 37: 323-331.
9. Hayanga AJ, Aboagye JK, Hayanga HE, Morrell M, Huffman L, Shigemura N, et al. Contemporary analysis of early outcomes after lung transplantation in the elderly using a national registry. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2015;34: 182-188.
10. Tong A, Howard K, Jan S, Cass A, Rose J, Chadban S, et al. Community preferences for the allocation of solid organs for transplantation: A systematic review. *Transplantation*. 2010; 89: 796-805.
11. Hall DJ, Jeng EI, Gregg JA, Pelaez A, Emtiazjoo AM, Chandrashekar S, et al. The impact of donor and recipient age: Older lung transplant recipients do not require younger lungs. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2019; 107: 868-8876.
12. Katsnelson J, Whitson BA, Tumin D, Ravi Y, Kilic A, Tobias JD, et al. Lung transplantation with lungs from older donors: An analysis of survival in elderly recipients. *J Surg Res*. 2017; 214: 109-116.
13. Al-Adra DP, Hammel L, Roberts J, Woodle ES, Levine D, Mandelbrot D, et al. Pretransplant solid organ malignancy and organ transplant candidacy: A consensus expert opinion statement. *Am J Transplant*. 2021; 21: 460-474.

14. Al-Adra DP, Hammel L, Roberts J, Woodle ES, Levine D, Mandelbrot D, et al. Preexisting melanoma and hematological malignancies, prognosis, and timing to solid organ transplantation: A consensus expert opinion statement. *Am J Transplant.* 2021; 21: 475-483.
15. Liu MC, Oxnard GR, Klein EA, Swanton C, Seiden MV, Liu MC, et al. Sensitive and specific multi-cancer detection and localization using methylation signatures in cell-free DNA. *Ann Oncol.* 2020; 31: 745-759.
16. Woll F, Mohanka M, Bollineni S, Joerns J, Kaza V, Torres F, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of lung transplant candidates with preexisting renal dysfunction. *Transplant Proc.* 2020; 52: 302-308.
17. Osho AA, Castleberry AW, Snyder LD, Ganapathi AM, Hirji SA, Stafford-Smith M, et al. Assessment of different threshold preoperative glomerular filtration rates as markers of outcomes in lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2014; 98: 283-290.
18. Banga A, Mohanka M, Mullins J, Bollineni S, Kaza V, Tanriover B, et al. Characteristics and outcomes among patients with need for early dialysis after lung transplantation surgery. *Clin Transplant.* 2017; 31: e13106.
19. Manoushagian S, Meshkov A. Evaluation of solid organ transplant candidates for coronary artery disease. *Am J Transplant.* 2014; 14: 2228-2234.
20. Halloran K, Hirji A, Li D, Jackson K, Kapasi A, Meyer S, et al. Coronary artery disease and coronary artery bypass grafting at the time of lung transplantation do not impact overall survival. *Transplantation.* 2019; 103: 2190-2195.
21. Khandhar SJ, Althouse AD, Mulukutla S, Kormos R, Toma C, Marroquin O, et al. Postoperative outcomes and management strategies for coronary artery disease in patients in need of a lung transplantation. *Clin Transplant.* 2017; 31: e13026.
22. McKellar SH, Bowen ME, Baird BC, Raman S, Cahill BC, Selzman CH. Lung transplantation following coronary artery bypass surgery—Improved outcomes following single-lung transplant. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2016; 35: 1289-1294.
23. Kehara H, Kashem M, Mangukia C, Sunagawa G, Yanagida R, Brann S, et al. Prevalence and Impact of preoperative peripheral arterial disease on outcomes following geriatric lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2022; 41: S167-S168.
24. Porteous MK, Ky B, Kirkpatrick JN, Shinohara R, Diamond JM, Shah RJ, et al. Diastolic dysfunction increases the risk of primary graft dysfunction after lung transplant. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016; 193: 1392-1400.
25. Andersen KH, Schultz HHL, Nyholm B, Iversen MP, Gustafsson F, Carlsen J. Pulmonary hypertension as a risk factor of mortality after lung transplantation. *Clin Transplant.* 2016; 30: 357-364.
26. Otto M, McGiffin D, Whitford H, Kure C, Snell G, Diehl A, et al. Survival and left ventricular dysfunction post lung transplantation for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Crit Care.* 2022; 72: 154120.
27. Lo WK, Burakoff R, Goldberg HJ, Feldman N, Chan WW. Pre-transplant impedance measures of reflux are associated with early allograft injury after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2015; 34: 26-35.
28. King BJ, Iyer H, Leidi AA, Carby MR. Gastroesophageal reflux in bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: A new perspective. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2009; 28: 870-875.

29. Lo WK, Goldberg HJ, Wee J, Fisichella PM, Chan WW. Both pre-transplant and early post-transplant antireflux surgery prevent development of early allograft injury after lung transplantation. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2016; 20: 111-118.
30. Hartwig MG, Anderson DJ, Onaitis MW, Reddy S, Snyder LD, Lin SS, et al. Fundoplication after lung transplantation prevents the allograft dysfunction associated with reflux. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2011; 92: 462-469.
31. Sottile PD, Iturbe D, Katsumoto TR, Connolly MK, Collard HR, Leard LA, et al. Outcomes in systemic sclerosis–Related lung disease after lung transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2013; 95: 975-980.
32. Miele CH, Schwab K, Saggarr R, Duffy E, Elashoff D, Tseng CH, et al. Lung transplant outcomes in systemic sclerosis with significant esophageal dysfunction. A comprehensive single-center experience. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2016; 13: 793-802.
33. Shah P, Orens JB. Impact of nutritional state on lung transplant outcomes: The weight of the evidence. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2013; 32: 755-756.
34. Baldwin MR, Arcasoy SM, Shah A, Schulze PC, Sze J, Sonett JR, et al. Hypoalbuminemia and early mortality after lung transplantation: A cohort study. *Am J Transplant.* 2012; 12: 1256-1267.
35. Halpern AL, Boshier PR, White AM, Houk AK, Helmkamp L, Mitchell JD, et al. A comparison of frailty measures at listing to predict outcomes after lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2020; 109: 233-240.
36. Courtwright AM, El-Chemaly S, Dellaripa PF, Goldberg HJ. Survival and outcomes after lung transplantation for non-scleroderma connective tissue–Related interstitial lung disease. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2017; 36: 763-769.
37. Takagishi T, Ostrowski R, Alex C, Rychlik K, Pelletiere K, Tehrani R. Survival and extrapulmonary course of connective tissue disease after lung transplantation. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2012; 18: 283-289.
38. Ameye H, Ruttens D, Benveniste O, Verleden GM, Wuyts WA. Is lung transplantation a valuable therapeutic option for patients with pulmonary polymyositis? Experiences from the leuven transplant cohort. *Transplant Proc.* 2014; 46: 3147-3153.
39. Bay P, Pineton De Chambrun M, Roux A, Bunel V, Combes A, Israël-Biet D, et al. Extracorporeal life support allows lung transplant in anti-MDA5+ rapidly progressive interstitial lung disease. *Eur Respir J.* 2022; 59: 2102968.
40. Leveque T, Pavlidi A, Lacoste-Palasset T, Cazes A, Messika J, Montravers P, et al. A 24-year-old woman with cough, arthralgia, and skin ulcerations. *Chest.* 2023; 163: e223-e229.
41. Chen YJ, Wu CY, Huang YL, Wang CB, Shen JL, Chang YT. Cancer risks of dermatomyositis and polymyositis: A nationwide cohort study in Taiwan. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2010; 12: R70.
42. Swaminathan AC, Neely ML, Frankel CW, Kelly FL, Petrovski S, Durham MT, et al. Lung transplant outcomes in patients with pulmonary fibrosis with telomere-related gene variants. *Chest.* 2019; 156: 477-485.
43. Upala S, Panichsillapakit T, Wijarnpreecha K, Jaruvongvanich V, Sanguankeo A. Underweight and obesity increase the risk of mortality after lung transplantation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transpl Int.* 2016; 29: 285-296.
44. Singer JP, Peterson ER, Snyder ME, Katz PP, Golden JA, D’Ovidio F, et al. Body composition and mortality after adult lung transplantation in the United States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2014; 190: 1012-1021.

45. Chandrashekar S, Keller CA, Kremers WK, Peters SG, Hathcock MA, Kennedy CC. Weight loss prior to lung transplantation is associated with improved survival. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2015; 34: 651-657.
46. Clausen ES, Frankel C, Palmer SM, Snyder LD, Smith PJ. Pre-transplant weight loss and clinical outcomes after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2018; 37: 1443-1447.
47. Singer JP, Diamond JM, Anderson MR, Katz PP, Covinsky K, Oyster M, et al. Frailty phenotypes and mortality after lung transplantation: A prospective cohort study. *Am J Transplant*. 2018; 18: 1995-2004.
48. Venado A, McCulloch C, Greenland JR, Katz P, Soong A, Shrestha P, et al. Frailty trajectories in adult lung transplantation: A cohort study. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2019; 38: 699-707.
49. Swaminathan AC, McConnell A, Peskoe S, Bashir MR, Buckley EB, Frankel CW, et al. Evaluation of frailty measures and short-term outcomes after lung transplantation. *Chest*. 2023; 164: 159-168.
50. Castleberry AW, Englum BR, Snyder LD, Worni M, Osho AA, Gulack BC, et al. The utility of preoperative six-minute-walk distance in lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015; 192: 843-852.
51. Martinu T, Babyak MA, O'Connell CF, Carney RM, Trulock EP, Davis RD, et al. Baseline 6-min walk distance predicts survival in lung transplant candidates. *Am J Transplant*. 2008; 8: 1498-1505.
52. Li M, Mathur S, Chowdhury NA, Helm D, Singer LG. Pulmonary rehabilitation in lung transplant candidates. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2013; 32: 626-632.
53. Wickerson L, Rozenberg D, Janaudis-Ferreira T, Deliva R, Lo V, Beauchamp G, et al. Physical rehabilitation for lung transplant candidates and recipients: An evidence-informed clinical approach. *World J Transplant*. 2016; 6: 517-531.
54. Kim M, Townsend KR, Wood IG, Boukedes S, Guleria I, Gabardi S, et al. Impact of pretransplant anti-HLA antibodies on outcomes in lung transplant candidates. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014; 189: 1234-1239.
55. Smith JD, Ibrahim MW, Newell H, Danskine AJ, Soresi S, Burke MM, et al. Pre-transplant donor HLA-specific antibodies: Characteristics causing detrimental effects on survival after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2014; 33: 1074-1082.
56. Courtwright AM, Cao S, Wood I, Mallidi HR, Kawasawa J, Moniodis A, et al. Clinical outcomes of lung transplantation in the presence of donor-specific antibodies. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2019; 16: 1131-1137.
57. Tinckam KJ, Keshavjee S, Chaparro C, Barth D, Azad S, Binnie M, et al. Survival in sensitized lung transplant recipients with perioperative desensitization. *Am J Transplant*. 2015; 15: 417-426.
58. Parquin F, Zuber B, Vallée A, Taupin JL, Cuquemelle E, Malard S, et al. A virtual crossmatch-based strategy for perioperative desensitisation in lung transplant recipients with pre-formed donor-specific antibodies: 3-year outcome. *Eur Respir J*. 2021; 58: 2004090.
59. Alexander BD, Petzold EW, Reller LB, Palmer SM, Davis RD, Woods CW, et al. Survival after lung transplantation of cystic fibrosis patients infected with *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. *Am J Transplant*. 2008; 8: 1025-1030.
60. Los-Arcos I, Len O, Martín-Gómez MT, González-López JJ, Saéz-Giménez B, Deu M, et al. Lung transplantation in two cystic fibrosis patients infected with previously pandrug-resistant

- Burkholderia cepacia complex treated with ceftazidime–avibactam. Infection. 2019; 47: 289-292.
61. Friedman DZP, Cervera C, Halloran K, Tyrrell G, Doucette K. Non-tuberculous mycobacteria in lung transplant recipients: Prevalence, risk factors, and impact on survival and chronic lung allograft dysfunction. Transpl Infect Dis. 2020; 22: e13229.
 62. Perez AA, Singer JP, Schwartz BS, Chin-Hong P, Shah RJ, Kleinhenz ME, et al. Management and clinical outcomes after lung transplantation in patients with pre-transplant *Mycobacterium abscessus* infection: A single center experience. Transpl Infect Dis. 2019; 21: e13084.
 63. Qvist T, Pressler T, Thomsen VO, Skov M, Iversen M, Katzenstein TL. Nontuberculous mycobacterial disease is not a contraindication to lung transplantation in patients with cystic fibrosis: A retrospective analysis in a danish patient population. Transplant Proc. 2013; 45: 342-345.
 64. Raats D, Lorent N, Saegeman V, Vos R, Van Ingen J, Verleden G, et al. Successful lung transplantation for chronic *Mycobacterium abscessus* infection in advanced cystic fibrosis, a case series. Transpl Infect Dis. 2019; 21: e13046.
 65. Parize P, Boussaud V, Poinignon V, Sitterlé E, Botterel F, Lefevre S, et al. Clinical outcome of cystic fibrosis patients colonized by *Scedosporium* species following lung transplantation: A single-center 15-year experience. Transpl Infect Dis. 2017; 19: e12738.
 66. Vazirani J, Westall GP, Snell GI, Morrissey CO. *Scedosporium apiospermum* and *Lomentospora prolificans* in lung transplant patients – A single center experience over 24 years. Transpl Infect Dis. 2021; 23: e13546.
 67. Álvarez-López P, Riveiro-Barciela M, Oleas-Vega D, Flores-Cortes C, Román A, Perelló M, et al. Anti-HBc impacts on the risk of hepatitis B reactivation but not on survival of solid-organ transplant recipients. Medicine. 2020; 99: e19407.
 68. John S, Andersson KL, Kotton CN, Hertl M, Markmann JF, Cosimi AB, et al. Prophylaxis of hepatitis B infection in solid organ transplant recipients. Therap Adv Gastroenterol. 2013; 6: 309-319.
 69. Arora SS, Axley P, Ahmed Z, Satapathy SK, Wong R, Kuo Y, et al. Decreasing frequency and improved outcomes of hepatitis C-related liver transplantation in the era of direct-acting antivirals – A retrospective cohort study. Transpl Int. 2019; 32: 854-864.
 70. Belli LS, Perricone G, Adam R, Cortesi PA, Strazzabosco M, Facchetti R, et al. Impact of DAAs on liver transplantation: Major effects on the evolution of indications and results. An ELITA study based on the ELTR registry. Hepatol. 2018; 69: 810-817.
 71. Doucette KE, Halloran K, Kapasi A, Lien D, Weinkauff JG. Outcomes of lung transplantation in recipients with hepatitis C virus infection. Am J Transplant. 2016; 16: 2445-2452.
 72. Ambaraghassi G, Ferraro P, Poirier C, Rouleau D, Fortin C. Double lung transplantation in an HIV-positive patient with *Mycobacterium kansasii* infection. Transpl Infect Dis. 2019; 21: e12999.
 73. Kern RM, Seethamraju H, Blanc PD, Sinha N, Loebe M, Golden J, et al. The feasibility of lung transplantation in HIV-seropositive patients. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2014; 11: 882-889.
 74. Ong S, Levy RD, Yee J, Partovi N, Churg A, Roméo P, et al. Successful lung transplantation in an HIV seropositive patient with desquamative interstitial pneumonia: A case report. BMC Pulm Med. 2018; 18: 162.

75. Dew MA, DiMartini AF, Dobbels F, Grady KL, Jowsey-Gregoire SG, Kaan A, et al. The 2018 ISHLT/APM/AST/ICCAC/STSW recommendations for the psychosocial evaluation of adult cardiothoracic transplant candidates and candidates for long-term mechanical circulatory support. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2018; 37: 803-823.
76. Hoffman BM, Blumenthal JA, Carney RC, O'Hayer CV, Freedland K, Smith PJ, et al. Changes in neurocognitive functioning following lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant*. 2012; 12: 2519-2525.
77. Smith PJ, Rivelli S, Waters A, Reynolds J, Hoyle A, Flowers M, et al. Neurocognitive changes after lung transplantation. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2014; 11: 1520-1527.
78. Lee BP, Chen PH, Haugen C, Hernaez R, Gurakar A, Philosophe B, et al. Three-year results of a pilot program in early liver transplantation for severe alcoholic hepatitis. *Ann Surg*. 2017; 265: 20-29.
79. Marks WH, Florence L, Lieberman J, Chapman P, Howard D, Roberts P, et al. Successfully treated invasive pulmonary aspergillosis associated with smoking marijuana in a renal transplant recipient. *Transplantation*. 1996; 61: 1771-1774.
80. Levi ME, Montague BT, Thurstone C, Kumar D, Huprikar SS, Kotton CN, et al. Marijuana use in transplantation: A call for clarity. *Clin Transplant*. 2019; 33: e13456.
81. Colman R, Singer LG, Barua R, Downar J. Outcomes of lung transplant candidates referred for co-management by palliative care: A retrospective case series. *Palliat Med*. 2015; 29: 429-435.
82. Vahidy S, Li D, Hirji A, Kapasi A, Weinkauff J, Laing B, et al. Pretransplant opioid use and survival after lung transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2020; 104: 1720-1725.
83. Shigemura N, Bhama J, Gries CJ, Kawamura T, Crespo M, Johnson B, et al. Lung transplantation in patients with prior cardiothoracic surgical procedures. *Am J Transplant*. 2012; 12: 1249-1255.
84. Omara M, Okamoto T, Arafat A, Thuita L, Blackstone EH, McCurry KR. Lung transplantation in patients who have undergone prior cardiothoracic procedures. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2016; 35: 1462-1470.
85. Date H, Sato M, Aoyama A, Yamada T, Mizota T, Kinoshita H, et al. Living-donor lobar lung transplantation provides similar survival to cadaveric lung transplantation even for very ill patients†. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2015; 47: 967-973.
86. Mitilian D, Sage E, Puyo P, Bonnette P, Parquin F, Stern M, et al. Techniques and results of lobar lung transplantations. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2014; 45: 365-370.
87. Benazzo A, Schwarz S, Frommlet F, Schweiger T, Jaksch P, Schellongowski P, et al. Twenty-year experience with extracorporeal life support as bridge to lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2019; 157: 2515-2525.e10.
88. Hoetzenecker K, Donahoe L, Yeung JC, Azad S, Fan E, Ferguson ND, et al. Extracorporeal life support as a bridge to lung transplantation—Experience of a high-volume transplant center. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018; 155: 1316-1328.e1.
89. Wallinder A, Danielsson C, Magnusson J, Riise GC, Dellgren G. Outcomes and long-term survival after pulmonary retransplantation: A single-center experience. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2019; 108: 1037-1044.
90. Verleden SE, Todd JL, Sato M, Palmer SM, Martinu T, Pavlisko EN, et al. Impact of CLAD phenotype on survival after lung retransplantation: A multicenter study. *Am J Transplant*. 2015; 15: 2223-2230.

91. Biswas Roy S, Panchanathan R, Walia R, Varsch KE, Kang P, Huang J, et al. Lung retransplantation for chronic rejection: A single-center experience. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2018; 105: 221-227.
92. Verleden GM, Glanville AR, Lease ED, Fisher AJ, Calabrese F, Corris PA, et al. Chronic lung allograft dysfunction: Definition, diagnostic criteria, and approaches to treatment—A consensus report from the Pulmonary Council of the ISHLT. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2019; 38: 493-503.
93. Wolf JH, Sulewski ME, Cassuto JR, Levine MH, Naji A, Olthoff KM, et al. Simultaneous thoracic and abdominal transplantation: Can we justify two organs for one recipient? *Am J Transplant.* 2013; 13: 1806-1816.
94. Weill D, Benden C, Corris PA, Dark JH, Davis RD, Keshavjee S, et al. A consensus document for the selection of lung transplant candidates: 2014—An update from the Pulmonary Transplantation Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2015; 34: 1-15.
95. Hill C, Maxwell B, Boulate D, Haddad F, Ha R, Afshar K, et al. Heart-lung vs. double-lung transplantation for idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Clin Transplant.* 2015; 29: 1067-1075.
96. Brouckaert J, Verleden SE, Verbelen T, Coosemans W, Decaluwé H, De Leyn P, et al. Double-lung versus heart-lung transplantation for precapillary pulmonary arterial hypertension: A 24-year single-center retrospective study. *Transpl Int.* 2019; 32: 717-729.
97. Choong CK, Sweet SC, Guthrie TJ, Mendeloff EN, Haddad FJ, Schuler P, et al. Repair of congenital heart lesions combined with lung transplantation for the treatment of severe pulmonary hypertension: A 13-year experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005; 129: 661-669.
98. Hyzny EJ, Chan EG, Morrell M, Harano T, Sanchez PG. A review of liver dysfunction in the lung transplant patient. *Clin Transplant.* 2021; 35: e14344.
99. Yi SG, Lunsford KE, Bruce C, Ghobrial RM. Conquering combined thoracic organ and liver transplantation: Indications and outcomes for heart-liver and lung–liver transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant.* 2018; 23: 180-186.
100. Freischlag K, Ezekian B, Schroder PM, Mulvihill MS, Cox ML, Hartwig MG, et al. A propensity-matched survival analysis: Do simultaneous liver-lung transplant recipients need a liver? *Transplantation.* 2019; 103: 1675-1682.
101. Weill D. Lung transplantation: Indications and contraindications. *J Thorac Dis.* 2018; 10: 4574-4587.
102. Reich HJ, Chan JL, Czer LS, Mirocha J, Annamalai AA, Cheng W, et al. Combined lung-kidney transplantation: An analysis of the UNOS/OPTN database. *Am Surg.* 2015; 81: 1047-1052.
103. Reed RM, Cabral HJ, Dransfield MT, Eberlein M, Merlo CA, Mulligan MJ, et al. Survival of lung transplant candidates with COPD. *Chest.* 2018; 153: 697-701.
104. Pirard L, Marchand E. Reassessing the BODE score as a criterion for listing COPD patients for lung transplantation. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2018; 13: 3963-3970.
105. Thabut G, Ravaud P, Christie JD, Castier Y, Fournier M, Mal H, et al. Determinants of the survival benefit of lung transplantation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2008; 177: 1156-1163.
106. de-Torres JP, Ezponda A, Alcaide AB, Campo A, Berto J, Gonzalez J, et al. Pulmonary arterial enlargement predicts long-term survival in COPD patients. *PLoS One.* 2018; 13: e0195640.

107. Martinez FJ, Han MK, Andrei AC, Wise R, Murray S, Curtis JL, et al. Longitudinal change in the BODE index predicts mortality in severe emphysema. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2008; 178: 491-499.
108. Criner GJ, Delage A, Voelker K, Hogarth DK, Majid A, Zgoda M, et al. Improving lung function in severe heterogeneous emphysema with the spiration valve system (EMPROVE). A multicenter, open-label randomized controlled clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2019; 200: 1354-1362.
109. Criner GJ, Sue R, Wright S, Dransfield M, Rivas-Perez H, Wiese T, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of zephyr endobronchial valve treatment in heterogeneous emphysema (LIBERATE). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2018; 198: 1151-1164.
110. Senbaklavaci O. Successful lung volume reduction surgery brings patients into better condition for later lung transplantation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2002; 22: 363-367.
111. Burns KEA, Keenan RJ, Grgurich WF, Manzetti JD, Zenati MA. Outcomes of lung volume reduction surgery followed by lung transplantation: A matched cohort study. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 73: 1587-1593.
112. Backhus L, Sargent J, Cheng A, Zeliadt S, Wood D, Mulligan M. Outcomes in lung transplantation after previous lung volume reduction surgery in a contemporary cohort. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014; 147: 1678-1683.e1.
113. Slama A, Ceulemans LJ, Hedderich C, Boehm PM, Van Slambrouck J, Schwarz S, et al. Lung volume reduction followed by lung transplantation in emphysema—A multicenter matched analysis. *Transpl Int.* 2022; 35: 10048.
114. Yusen RD, Edwards LB, Dipchand AI, Goldfarb SB, Kucheryavaya AY, Levvey BJ, et al. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Thirty-third adult lung and heart–lung transplant report—2016; Focus theme: Primary diagnostic indications for transplant. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2016; 35: 1170-1184.
115. Collard HR, Ryerson CJ, Corte TJ, Jenkins G, Kondoh Y, Lederer DJ, et al. Acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. An international working group report. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016; 194: 265-275.
116. Rodríguez-Portal JA. Efficacy and Safety of Nintedanib for the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: An update. *Drugs R D.* 2018; 18: 19-25.
117. King TE, Bradford WZ, Castro-Bernardini S, Fagan EA, Glaspole I, Glassberg MK, et al. A phase 3 trial of pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2014; 370: 2083-2092.
118. Petnak T, Lertjitbanjong P, Thongprayoon C, Moua T. Impact of antifibrotic therapy on mortality and acute exacerbation in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chest.* 2021; 160: 1751-1763.
119. Shumar JN, Chandel A, King CS. Antifibrotic therapies and progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease (PF-ILD): Building on INBUILD. *J Clin Med.* 2021; 10: 2285.
120. Raghu G, Ley B, Brown KK, Cottin V, Gibson KF, Kaner RJ, et al. Risk factors for disease progression in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Thorax.* 2020; 75: 78-80.
121. Montgomery E, Macdonald PS, Newton PJ, Chang S, Jha SR, Hannu MK, et al. Frailty as a predictor of mortality in patients with interstitial lung disease referred for lung transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2020; 104: 864-872.

122. Ratwani AP, Ahmad KI, Barnett SD, Nathan SD, Brown AW. Connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease and outcomes after hospitalization: A cohort study. *Respir Med*. 2019; 154: 1-5.
123. Khadawardi H, Mura M. A simple dyspnoea scale as part of the assessment to predict outcome across chronic interstitial lung disease. *Respirology*. 2017; 22: 501-507.
124. Newton CA, Batra K, Torrealba J, Kozlitina J, Glazer CS, Aravena C, et al. Telomere-related lung fibrosis is diagnostically heterogeneous but uniformly progressive. *Eur Respir J*. 2016; 48: 1710-1720.
125. Sato S, Masui K, Nishina N, Kawaguchi Y, Kawakami A, Tamura M, et al. Initial predictors of poor survival in myositis-associated interstitial lung disease: A multicentre cohort of 497 patients. *Rheumatology*. 2018; 57: 1212-1221.
126. Kishaba T, McGill R, Nei Y, Ibuki S, Momose M, Nishiyama K, et al. Clinical characteristics of dermatomyositis/polymyositis associated interstitial lung disease according to the autoantibody. *J Med Invest*. 2018; 65: 251-257.
127. Delanote I, Wuyts WA, Yserbyt J, Verbeken EK, Verleden GM, Vos R. Safety and efficacy of bridging to lung transplantation with antifibrotic drugs in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: A case series. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2016; 16: 156.
128. Behr J, Nathan SD. Pulmonary hypertension in interstitial lung disease: Screening, diagnosis and treatment. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2021; 27: 396-404.
129. Ramos KJ, Quon BS, Heltshe SL, Mayer-Hamblett N, Lease ED, Aitken ML, et al. Heterogeneity in survival in adult patients with cystic fibrosis with FEV1 <30% of predicted in the United States. *Chest*. 2017; 151: 1320-1328.
130. Benden C, Schwarz C. CFTR modulator therapy and its impact on lung transplantation in cystic fibrosis. *Pulm Ther*. 2021; 7: 377-393.
131. Milla CE, Warwick WJ. Risk of death in cystic fibrosis patients with severely compromised lung function. *Chest*. 1998; 113: 1230-1234.
132. Hayes D, Kirkby S, Whitson BA, Black SM, Sheikh SI, Tobias JD, et al. Mortality risk and pulmonary function in adults with cystic fibrosis at time of wait listing for lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2015; 100: 474-479.
133. Lehr CJ, Skeans M, Dasenbrook E, Fink A, Fernandez G, Faro A, et al. Effect of including important clinical variables on accuracy of the lung allocation score for cystic fibrosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2019; 200: 1013-1021.
134. Solé A, Pérez I, Vázquez I, Pastor A, Escrivá J, Sales G, et al. Patient-reported symptoms and functioning as indicators of mortality in advanced cystic fibrosis: A new tool for referral and selection for lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2016; 35: 789-794.
135. Hayes D, Tumin D, Daniels CJ, McCoy KS, Mansour HM, Tobias JD, et al. Pulmonary artery pressure and benefit of lung transplantation in adult cystic fibrosis patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016; 101: 1104-1109.
136. Coriati A, Sykes J, Nkam L, Hocine MN, Burgel PR, Stephenson AL. Validation of the French 3-year prognostic score using the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis registry. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2019; 18: 396-398.
137. Nkam L, Lambert J, Latouche A, Bellis G, Burgel Pr, Hocine MN. A 3-year prognostic score for adults with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2017; 16: 702-708.

138. Ramos KJ, Smith PJ, McKone EF, Pilewski JM, Lucy A, Hempstead SE, et al. Lung transplant referral for individuals with cystic fibrosis: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation consensus guidelines. *J Cyst Fibros.* 2019; 18: 321-333.
139. Somayaji R, Yau YCW, Tullis E, LiPuma JJ, Ratjen F, Waters V. Clinical outcomes associated with *Burkholderia cepacia* complex infection in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2020; 17: 1542-1548.
140. Hadjiliadis D, Khoruts A, Zauber AG, Hempstead SE, Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB, et al. Cystic fibrosis colorectal cancer screening consensus recommendations. *Gastroenterology.* 2018; 154: 736-745.e14.
141. Vital D, Hofer M, Benden C, Holzmann D, Boehler A. Impact of sinus surgery on pseudomonas airway colonization, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and survival in cystic fibrosis lung transplant recipients. *Respiration.* 2013; 86: 25-31.
142. Leung MK, Rachakonda L, Weill D, Hwang PH. Effects of sinus surgery on lung transplantation outcomes in cystic fibrosis. *Am J Rhinol.* 2008; 22: 192-196.
143. Luparello P, Lazio MS, Voltolini L, Borchi B, Taccetti G, Maggiore G. Outcomes of endoscopic sinus surgery in adult lung transplant patients with cystic fibrosis. *Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-L.* 2019; 276: 1341-1347.
144. Martinez-Garcia MA, De Gracia J, Vendrell Relat M, Giron RM, Maiz Carro L, De La Rosa Carrillo D, et al. Multidimensional approach to non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis: The FACED score. *European Respir J.* 2014; 43: 1357-1367.
145. Minov J, Karadzinska-Bislimovska J, Vasilevska K, Stoleski S, Mijakoski D. Assessment of the non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis severity: The FACED score vs the bronchiectasis severity index. *Open Respir Med J.* 2015; 9: 46-51.
146. Chalmers JD, Goeminne P, Aliberti S, McDonnell MJ, Lonni S, Davidson J, et al. The bronchiectasis severity index. An international derivation and validation study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2014; 189: 576-585.
147. Hayes D, Kopp BT, Tobias JD, Woodley FW, Mansour HM, Tumin D, et al. Survival in patients with advanced non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis versus cystic fibrosis on the waitlist for lung transplantation. *Lung.* 2015; 193: 933-938.
148. Galiè N, Channick RN, Frantz RP, Grünig E, Jing ZC, Moiseeva O, et al. Risk stratification and medical therapy of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Eur Respir J.* 2019; 53: 1801889.
149. Van De Veerdonk MC, Kind T, Marcus JT, Mauritz GJ, Heymans MW, Bogaard HJ, et al. Progressive right ventricular dysfunction in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension responding to therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011; 58: 2511-2519.
150. Badagliacca R, Papa S, Poscia R, Valli G, Pezzuto B, Manzi G, et al. The added value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the follow-up of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2019; 38: 306-314.
151. Hoeper MM, Pausch C, Olsson KM, Huscher D, Pittrow D, Grünig E, et al. COMPERA 2.0: A refined four-stratum risk assessment model for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Eur Respir J.* 2022; 60: 2102311.
152. Olsson KM, Richter MJ, Kamp JC, Gall H, Ghofrani HA, Fuge J, et al. Refined risk stratification in pulmonary arterial hypertension and timing of lung transplantation. *Eur Respir J.* 2022; 60: 2103087.

153. Pasque MK, Trulock EP, Cooper JD, Triantafillou AN, Huddleston CB, Rosenbloom M, et al. Single lung transplantation for pulmonary hypertension: Single institution experience in 34 patients. *Circulation*. 1995; 92: 2252-2558.
154. Conte JV, Borja MJ, Patel CB, Yang SC, Jhaveri RM, Orens JB. Lung transplantation for primary and secondary pulmonary hypertension. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2001; 72: 1673-1680.
155. Cottin V, Blanchard E, Kerjoun M, Lazor R, Reynaud-Gaubert M, Taille C, et al. French recommendations for the diagnosis and management of lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Respir Med Res*. 2023; 83: 101010.
156. Salman J, Ius F, Sommer W, Siemeni T, Fleissner F, Alhadidi H, et al. Long-term results of bilateral lung transplantation in patients with end-stage pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Prog Transpl*. 2019; 29: 115-121.
157. Kpodonu J, Massad MG, Chaer RA, Caines A, Evans A, Snow NJ, et al. The US experience with lung transplantation for pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2005; 24: 1247-1253.
158. Warrior K, Dilling DF. Lung transplantation for lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2023; 42: 40-52.
159. Oishi H, Watanabe T, Matsuda Y, Noda M, Ejima Y, Saiki Y, et al. Single lung transplantation for lymphangioleiomyomatosis: A single-center experience in Japan. *Surg Today*. 2018; 48: 944-950.
160. Benden C, Rea F, Behr J, Corris PA, Reynaud-Gaubert M, Stern M, et al. Lung transplantation for lymphangioleiomyomatosis: The European experience. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2009; 28: 1-7.
161. Reynaud-Gaubert M, Mornex JF, Mal H, Treilhaud M, Dromer C, Quétant S, et al. Lung transplantation for lymphangioleiomyomatosis: The French experience. *Transplantation*. 2008; 86: 515-520.
162. El-Chemaly S, Goldberg HJ, Glanville AR. Should mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors be stopped in women with lymphangioleiomyomatosis awaiting lung transplantation? *Expert Rev Respir Med*. 2014; 8: 657-660.
163. Ando K, Kurihara M, Kataoka H, Ueyama M, Togo S, Sato T, et al. The efficacy and safety of low-dose sirolimus for treatment of lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Respir Investig*. 2013; 51: 175-183.
164. Ahmad U, Wang Z, Bryant AS, Kim AW, Kukreja J, Mason DP, et al. Outcomes for lung transplantation for lung cancer in the united network for organ sharing registry. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2012; 94: 935-941.
165. Ahmad U, Hakim AH, Tang A, Tong MZ, Bribriescio A, Budev M, et al. Patterns of recurrence and overall survival in incidental lung cancer in explanted lungs. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2019; 107: 891-896.
166. Nakajima T, Cypel M, De Perrot M, Pierre A, Waddell T, Singer L, et al. Retrospective analysis of lung transplant recipients found to have unexpected lung cancer in explanted lungs. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2015; 27: 9-14.
167. Glanville AR, Wilson BE. Lung transplantation for non-small cell lung cancer and multifocal bronchioalveolar cell carcinoma. *Lancet Oncol*. 2018; 19: e351-e358.
168. Machuca TN, Keshavjee S. Transplantation for lung cancer. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant*. 2012; 17: 479-484.
169. Bermudez C, Bermudez F, Courtwright A, Richards T, Diamond J, Cevalco M, et al. Lung transplantation for COVID-2019 respiratory failure in the United States: Outcomes 1-year

- posttransplant and the impact of preoperative extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2023.04.016.
170. Stokes JW, Gannon WD, Bacchetta M. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation as a bridge to lung transplant. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med.* 2021; 42: 380-391.
171. Chang Y, Lee SO, Shim TS, Choi SH, Kim HR, Kim YH, et al. Lung transplantation as a therapeutic option in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Transplantation.* 2018; 102: 829-837.
172. Kon ZN, Dahi S, Evans CF, Byrnes KA, Bittle GJ, Wehman B, et al. Long-term venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2015; 100: 2059-2063.
173. Rosenberg AA, Haft JW, Bartlett R, Iwashyna TJ, Huang SK, Lynch WR, et al. Prolonged duration ECMO for ARDS: Futility, native lung recovery, or transplantation? *ASAIO J.* 2013; 59: 642-650.
174. Roach A, Chikwe J, Catarino P, Rampolla R, Noble PW, Megna D, et al. Lung transplantation for Covid-19–related respiratory failure in the United States. *N Engl J Med.* 2022; 386: 1187-1188.
175. King CS, Mannem H, Kukreja J, Aryal S, Tang D, Singer JP, et al. Lung transplantation for patients with Covid-19. *Chest.* 2022; 161: 169-178.
176. Thomas C, Chandel A, King CS, Aryal S, Brown AW, Khangoora V, et al. Prevalence of pulmonary hypertension in patients with COVID-19 related lung disease listed for lung transplantation: A UNOS registry analysis. *Pulm Circ.* 2023; 13: e12228.