Appendix

Table S1 Quality assessment of studies included in this umbrella review.

		Liqing	Jude	Jude			Fang	Surapon	Ninik		Jessica
	Yan-Jie	Zhang	Cénat	Cénat	Dan Qiu	Gayathri	C. Fan	Nochaiwong	Yunitri	Peter	Cooke
	Zhao [21]	[10]	[22]	[23]	[24]	Delaneroll	[26]	[27]	[28]	Phiri [29]	[30]
	(2020)	(2020)	(2020)	(2021)	(2020)	[25] (2022)	(2021)	(2021)	(2021)	(2021)	(2020)
Include the components of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PICO											
The review methods were	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes
established prior											
Explain their selection of the	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
study designs for inclusion											
Use a comprehensive	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
literature search strategy											
Perform study selection in	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
duplicate											
Perform data extraction in	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
duplicate											
Provide a list of excluded	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
studies and justify the											
exclusions											
Describe the included studies	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
n adequate detail											
Use a satisfactory technique	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
or assessing the risk of bias											

in individual studies that											
were included in the review											
Report on the sources of	No										
funding for the studies											
included in the review											
Use appropriate methods for	Yes										
statistical combination of											
results											
Assess the potential impact of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
RoB in individual studies on											
the results of the meta-											
analysis or other evidence											
synthesis											
Account for RoB in individual	Yes										
studies when											
interpreting/discussing the											
results of the review											
Provide a satisfactory	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
explanation for, and											
discussion of, any											
heterogeneity observed in											
the results of the review	Vaa	Vaa	Na	Vaa	Na	Vaa	Vaa	Vas	Voc	Vaa	V
Carry out an adequate investigation	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
bias (small study bias) and											
discuss its likely impact on											
the results of the review											
the results of the review											

OBM Neurobiology 2023; 7(3), doi:10.21926/obm.neurobiol.2303175

Report any potential sources	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
of conflict of interest,											
including any funding they											
received for conducting the											
review											
Total	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Critically low	Low	Moderate	Moderate